Functional Analysis

Jarosław Mederski

Scuola Matematica Interuniversitaria, Perugia 2025

Table of Contents

1	Ban	ach and Hilbert Spaces
	1.1	Normed spaces and complete norms, examples
		1.1.1 Direct sum of normed spaces
		1.1.2 Quotient space
	1.2	Bounded Linear Operators
	1.3	Hahn-Banach Theorem
	1.4	Duals of Normed Spaces
		1.4.1 Application of Hahn-Banach theorem
	1.5	Open Mapping Theorem
	1.6	Banach-Steinhaus Theorem
	1.7	Closed Graph Theorem
		1.7.1 Neuman series
	1.8	Strong and Weak Convergence
		1.8.1 Eberlein-Šmulian theorem
		1.8.2 Remarks on integrability and Vitali Convergence Theorem
	1.9	Weak* Topology and Banach-Alaoglu Theorem
	1.10	Reflexive Spaces
	1.11	Compact Operators
		1.11.1 Spectrum o a bounded operators
		1.11.2 Types of Spectrum
		1.11.3 Adjoint Operator in complex Banach Spaces
	1.12	Hilbert Spaces, Projections and Orthogonality
	1.13	Riesz Representation Theorem
	1.14	Spectral Decomposition of Self-Adjoint Compact Operators
		1.14.1 Is spectrum real?
		1.14.2 Comments on spectral measure
		1.14.3 Spectral properties of self-adjoint operators
		1.14.4 Orthonormal basis
	1.15	Coercivity and Lax-Milgram theorem

2	Sob	olev Spaces and Elliptic PDEs	46
	2.1	Sobolev Spaces	46
	2.2	Geometric meaning	46
	2.3	Definition and Basic Properties	46
	2.4	Density and mollifiers	48
	2.5	Higher-order Sobolev spaces	49
	2.6	Sobolev Embeddings and Poincaré inequality	50
	2.7	Weak Solutions and Critical Points	50
	2.8	Spectrum of the Laplace Operator	51
		2.8.1 Dirichlet eigenvalue problem on $(0,\pi)$	52
	2.9	Variational Characterization of the First Eigenvalue	52
	2.10	From the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation to an Elliptic PDE	53
	2.11	Direct Method in the Calculus of Variations	54
		2.11.1 Dirichlet problem with a defocuscing nonlinearity	55
	2.12	Minimax Methods and the Mountain Pass Theorem	56
		2.12.1 Dirichlet problem with a focuscing nonlinearity	57
	2.13	Introduction to Spectral Theory of Schrödinger Operators	59
	2.14	Radial solutions in \mathbb{R}^N via variational methods	59
3	Prol	blems	61

Functional analysis is a branch of mathematical analysis that focuses on the study of vector spaces and operators acting upon them. It has a wide range of applications in both pure and applied mathematics, as well as in various scientific fields. Some of the key areas where functional analysis is used include:

- Partial Differential Equations (PDEs): Many techniques in functional analysis are used to study and solve PDEs, which model physical phenomena such as heat, fluid flow, and waves.
- Quantum Mechanics: Functional analysis provides the mathematical foundation for quantum theory, especially through Hilbert spaces and linear operators.
- **Signal Processing:** Tools from functional analysis, such as Fourier transforms and wavelets, are essential in signal and image processing.
- Control Theory: Functional analytic methods help in modeling and solving problems related to dynamic systems and feedback control.
- Economics and Optimization: Functional analysis is used in the study of infinite-dimensional optimization problems and economic equilibria.
- Numerical Analysis: It underpins the theoretical framework for various numerical methods, including finite element methods.

In summary, functional analysis plays a critical role in both theoretical and applied contexts, bridging abstract mathematical theory with practical applications.

The *goal* of this lecture is to provide an introduction to functional analysis, which will enable further interest and research in the aforementioned areas. In the final lectures, we will aim to build the framework of functional analysis and explore variational methods that allow us to solve elliptic equations, such as the famous nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = f(u),$$

or the Dirichlet problem, which is important from the perspective of physics, applications, and is interesting in terms of functional analytic tools.

1 Banach and Hilbert Spaces

1.1 Normed spaces and complete norms, examples

Definition 1.1. A normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a vector space X over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} equipped with a function $\|\cdot\|: X \to \mathbb{R}$, called a norm, satisfying the following properties for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}):

- Positivity: $||x|| \ge 0$, and ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0.
- Homogeneity (absolute scalability): $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\|$.
- Triangle inequality: $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$.

Definition 1.2. A sequence (x_n) in a normed space $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ is called a *Cauchy sequence* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq N$, we have $\|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon$.

A normed space is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a limit in X. A complete normed space is called a $Banach\ space$.

Example 1.1 (Classical Banach spaces).

• The sequence space ℓ^p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ consists of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of scalars such that:

$$||x||_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p\right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is finite. Each ℓ^p space is a Banach space.

• The space $L^p([a,b])$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ consists of (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}) such that the p-th power of the absolute value is integrable:

$$||f||_p = \begin{cases} \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \text{ess } \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

These spaces are Banach spaces.

• The space C([a,b]) of continuous real (or complex) functions on [a,b] equipped with the *supremum norm*

$$||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$$

is also a Banach space.

Example 1.2 (Non-complete normed space). Let c_{00} denote the space of sequences with only finitely many nonzero terms, equipped with the ℓ^p norm for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $(c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a normed space, but it is not complete — its completion is ℓ^p .

Remark 1.1. Every norm induces a metric d(x,y) = ||x-y||, so every normed space is a metric space. However, not every metric space arises from a norm.

4

1.1.1 Direct sum of normed spaces

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be normed vector spaces over the same field (e.g., \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). The *direct sum* of X and Y, denoted by $X \oplus Y$, is the Cartesian product $X \times Y$ equipped with the following operations:

$$(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) := (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2), \quad \lambda \cdot (x, y) := (\lambda x, \lambda y)$$

and a norm defined, for example, by:

$$||(x,y)||_1 := ||x||_X + ||y||_Y$$

Alternatively, one can use:

$$||(x,y)||_{\infty} := \max\{||x||_X, ||y||_Y\}$$

or:

$$\|(x,y)\|_2 := \left(\|x\|_X^2 + \|y\|_Y^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Each of these norms turns $X \oplus Y$ into a normed space. The specific choice of norm depends on the context and desired properties. All the above norms are *equivalent*, i.e. there are constants 0 < a < b such that

$$a||(x,y)||_i \le ||(x,y)||_\infty \le b||(x,y)||_i$$

for any $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and i = 1, 2.

1.1.2 Quotient space

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space and let $X_0 \subset X$ be a closed linear subspace. The quotient space X/X_0 is the set of equivalence classes

$$X/X_0 := \{[x] : x \in X\}, \text{ where } [x] := x + X_0 = \{x + x_0 : x_0 \in X_0\}.$$

Two elements $x, y \in X$ belong to the same equivalence class if and only if $x - y \in X_0$. The space X/X_0 becomes a normed vector space when equipped with the norm

$$||[x]||_{X/X_0} := \inf_{x_0 \in X_0} ||x + x_0|| = \inf_{z \in [x]} ||z||.$$

With this norm, X/X_0 is a normed space. If X is a Banach space (i.e., complete), then so is $(X/X_0, \|\cdot\|_{X/X_0})$.

1.2 Bounded Linear Operators

Definition 1.3. A linear operator $T: X \to Y$ between normed spaces is *bounded* if there exists $C \ge 0$ such that $||Tx||_Y \le C||x||_X$ for all $x \in X$. The least possible constant C such that the above inequality holds is denoted by ||T||.

Let X and Y be normed vector spaces over the same field (usually \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). We define

$$\alpha(X,Y) := \{T : X \to Y \mid T \text{ is linear and continuous}\}$$

as the set of all continuous linear operators from X to Y.

Theorem 1.1. $T \in \alpha(X,Y)$ if and only if one of the following condition holds

- T is continuous,
- T is continuous at 0,
- T is bounded.

Proof. Exercise \Box

the set $\alpha(X,Y)$ forms a vector space itself, and can be equipped with the operator norm

$$||T|| = \sup_{\|x\|_X \le 1} ||T(x)||_Y = \sup_{\|x\|_X = 1} ||T(x)||_Y.$$

Theorem 1.2. $(\alpha(X,Y), \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space, and a Banach space if $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. \Box

1.3 Hahn-Banach Theorem

Theorem 1.3 (Hahn-Banach). Let $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sublinear function, i.e.

- $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$,
- $p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, $\lambda > 0$,

and let f be a linear functional defined on a subspace $Y \subseteq X$ such that $f(y) \leq p(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Then f can be extended to a linear functional F on X such that $F(x) \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof uses Zorn's Lemma. Consider the set of all linear extensions of f to subspaces of X dominated by p. Order this set by extension. Zorn's Lemma ensures a maximal element, which can be shown to be defined on all of X.

1.4 Duals of Normed Spaces

Definition 1.4. The dual space $X^* = \alpha(X, \mathbb{R})$ of a normed space X is the set of all bounded linear functionals on X. In view of Theorem 1.2, X^* is a Banach space.

Theorem 1.4. Let 1 and let q be the Hölder conjugate exponent of p, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Then the dual space $(\ell^p)^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ^q .

Proof. Let $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with 1 at the *n*-th place. For every continuous linear functional $\varphi \in (\ell^p)^*$, there exists a unique sequence $y = (y_n)$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$$
 for all $x = (x_n) \in \ell^p$,

that is $y_n := \phi(e_n)$.

 $y=(y_n)\in \ell^q$. Let $z_n:=|y_n|^{q-2}y_n$ if $y_n\neq 0$, otherwise $z_n=0$. Observe that

$$\|\phi\| \ge \frac{|f(\sum_{i=k}^n z_k e_k)|}{\|\sum_{i=k}^n z_k e_k\|_p} = \frac{\sum_{i=k}^n |y_k|^q}{(\sum_{i=k}^n |y_k|^{(q-1)p})^{1/p}} = \|\sum_{i=k}^n y_k e_k\|_q.$$

Hence $y \in \ell^q$. Moreover,

$$\phi(x) \le ||x||_p ||y||_q,$$

so $\|\phi\| \leq \|y\|_q$. This correspondence defines an isometric isomorphism

$$\Phi: (\ell^p)^* \to \ell^q, \quad \Phi(x) = y$$

This mapping Φ is linear, bijective, and satisfies

$$\|\Phi(\phi)\|_q = \|\phi\|.$$

Theorem 1.5. The dual space of ℓ^1 , denoted $(\ell^1)^*$, is isometrically isomorphic to ℓ^{∞} . That is, for every continuous linear functional $\varphi \in (\ell^1)^*$, there exists a unique sequence $y = (y_n) \in \ell^{\infty}$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$$
 for all $x = (x_n) \in \ell^1$.

This correspondence defines an isometric isomorphism.

Remark 1.2. $\ell^1 \subsetneq (\ell^{\infty})^*$

Theorem 1.6. Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. If 1 :

$$(L^p(\mu))^* \cong L^q(\mu)$$
, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

That is, the dual space of L^p is isometrically isomorphic to L^q , and each bounded linear functional $\phi \in (L^p)^*$ can be represented as:

$$\phi(f) = \int_X f(x)g(x) d\mu(x), \text{ for some } g \in L^q(\mu)$$

If p = 1:

$$(L^1(\mu))^* \cong L^\infty(\mu)$$

Each bounded linear functional on L^1 is given by integration against a function in L^{∞} . If $p = \infty$:

$$L^1(\mu) \subsetneq (L^{\infty}(\mu))^*$$

In this case, the dual of L^{∞} is strictly larger than L^{1} . Specifically:

$$(L^{\infty}(\mu))^* \cong \operatorname{ba}(\mu)$$

where $ba(\mu)$ denotes the space of bounded finitely additive set functions (not necessarily σ -additive).

Summary:

For
$$1 :
$$(L^p)^* \cong L^q, \quad \text{where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$
For $p = 1$:
$$(L^1)^* \cong L^\infty$$
For $p = \infty$:
$$L^1 \subsetneq (L^\infty)^*$$$$

1.4.1 Application of Hahn-Banach theorem

Corollary 1.1. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and $x_0 \in X$. There is $g \in X^*$ such that $g(x_0) = \|x_0\|$ and $\|g\|_{X^*} = 1$.

Proof. Put
$$Y = \mathbb{R}x_0$$
.

Corollary 1.2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Then

$$||x|| = \sup_{f \in X^*, ||f|| \le 1} |f(x)| = \max_{f \in X^*, ||f|| \le 1} |f(x)|.$$

Proof. If $f \in X^*$ and $||f|| \le 1$, then $|f(x)| \le ||x||$ for any $x \in X$. On the other hand there is $g \in X^*$ such that g(x) = ||x|| and $||g||_{X^*} = 1$.

Given two disjoint convex subsets A and B of a normed space X, can we find a continuous linear functional $f \in X^*$ that separates them — that is, such that the images f(A) and f(B) do not overlap?

We will show that, under certain mild conditions on the sets A and B, such a functional always exists.

Theorem 1.7 (Separation of Convex Sets). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space and let $A, B \subset X$ be two disjoint convex subsets.

(i) If A is open, then there exists $f \in X^*$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(a) < c < f(b)$$
 for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$.

(ii) If A is compact and B is closed, then there exists $f \in X^*$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, with $c_1 < c_2$, such that

$$f(a) \le c_1 < c_2 \le f(b)$$
 for all $a \in A, b \in B$.

In order to prove theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 (Minkowski functional). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space, and let $C \subset X$ be an open convex set with $0 \in C$. Define, for each $x \in X$,

$$p(x) := \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \alpha^{-1} x \in C \right\}.$$

Then the function $p: X \to [0, \infty)$ satisfies:

- 1. $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ (positive homogeneity),
- 2. $p(x+y) \le p(x) + p(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (subadditivity),
- 3. There exists a constant M > 0 such that $p(x) \leq M||x||$ for all $x \in X$,
- 4. $C = \{x \in X : p(x) < 1\}.$

Proof. 1. Let $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$p(\lambda x) = \inf \left\{ \alpha > 0 : \alpha^{-1} \lambda x \in C \right\} = \inf \left\{ \lambda \beta > 0 : \beta^{-1} x \in C \right\} = \lambda p(x).$$

2. Let $x, y \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\alpha < p(x) + \varepsilon/2$, $\beta < p(y) + \varepsilon/2$, such that

$$\frac{x}{\alpha} \in C, \quad \frac{y}{\beta} \in C.$$

Define $\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$, so that

$$\lambda \cdot \frac{x}{\alpha} + (1 - \lambda) \cdot \frac{y}{\beta} = \frac{x + y}{\alpha + \beta} \in C$$

by convexity. Hence,

$$p(x+y) \le \alpha + \beta < p(x) + p(y) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$.

3. Since C is open and contains 0, there exists r > 0 such that $B(0,r) \subset C$. Then for any $x \in X$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{r}{\|x\|} x \right\| = r \Rightarrow \frac{r}{\|x\|} x \in C \quad \text{if } x \neq 0.$$

Thus $p(x) \leq \frac{\|x\|}{r}$. Let $M := \frac{1}{r}$. Then $p(x) \leq M\|x\|$ for all $x \in X$.

- 4. (\subseteq) Let $x \in C$. Since C is open, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon)x \in C$. Hence $p(x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} < 1$.
- (\supseteq) Suppose p(x) < 1. Then there exists $\alpha < 1$ such that $\alpha^{-1}x \in C$. Since C is convex and $0 \in C$, we have:

$$x = \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1}x) + (1 - \alpha) \cdot 0 \in C.$$

Hence, $x \in C$. Therefore,

$$C = \{ x \in X : p(x) < 1 \}.$$

Lemma 1.2 (Separation of a point and an open convex set). Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space, let $C \subset X$ be a nonempty open convex set, and let $x_0 \notin C$. Then there exists a nonzero $f \in X^*$ such that

$$f(x) < f(x_0)$$
 for all $x \in C$.

In other words, the affine hyperplane $\{x: f(x) = f(x_0)\}$ strictly separates the point x_0 and the convex set C.

Proof. Since C is convex and open and does not contain x_0 , we can translate everything by picking some $c_0 \in C$. Define

$$D := C - c_0$$
 and $y_0 := x_0 - c_0$.

Then D is still open, convex, nonempty, and $0 \in D$, while $y_0 \notin D$. Define the gauge (Minkowski) functional $p: X \to [0, \infty)$ by

$$p(x) := \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \alpha^{-1}x \in D\}.$$

By Lemma 1.1, p is sublinear and satisfies $p(x) < 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in D$. In particular, $p(y_0) \ge 1$. Consider the one-dimensional subspace $Y = \text{span}\{y_0\}$. Define a linear functional $g: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(\lambda y_0) = \lambda.$$

Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$g(\lambda y_0) = \lambda \le |\lambda| \le p(\lambda y_0),$$

where the last inequality uses sublinearity of p and $p(y_0) \ge 1$. So $g \le p$ on Y.

By the Hahn–Banach Theorem 1.3, g can be extended to some $f \in X^*$ such that $f \leq p$ everywhere and $f(y_0) = g(y_0) = 1$. In particular, f is nonzero.

For any $x \in C$, write $x = d + c_0$ with $d \in D$. Then p(d) < 1, and hence

$$f(x) = f(d + c_0) = f(d) + f(c_0) < 1 + f(c_0).$$

On the other hand,

$$f(x_0) = f(y_0 + c_0) = f(y_0) + f(c_0) = 1 + f(c_0).$$

Thus $f(x) < f(x_0)$ for all $x \in C$, completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. (i) Assume A is open, convex, and disjoint from convex set B. Fix $a_0 \in A$, $b_0 \in B$ and set

$$x_0 := a_0 - b_0.$$

Define the set

$$C := \{a - b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Then $C \subset X$ is convex, and since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, we have $0 \notin C$. Moreover, because A is open, C is also open in X. .

Now apply Lemma 1.2 and there exists a continuous linear functional $f \in X^*$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$f(x) < f(0) = 0$$
 for all $x \in C$.

In particular, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$,

$$f(a - b) = f(a) - f(b) < 0 \Rightarrow f(a) < f(b).$$

Since A is open, then f(A) is open and

$$f(a) < \sup_{x \in A} f(x) \le f(b)$$

(ii) Now suppose that A is compact and B is closed. Since the two sets are disjoint and A is compact, the distance between them is strictly positive:

$$\rho := \inf\{\|a - b\| : a \in A, b \in B\} > 0.$$

We define the open ρ -neighborhood of A as

$$A_{\rho} := \{x \in X : \operatorname{dist}(x, A) < \rho\}.$$

This set is open, contains A, and is still disjoint from B, because every point in A_{ρ} lies at a distance strictly less than ρ from A, while all points in B are at least ρ away.

Now we can apply the result from part (i). Since A_{ρ} is open and convex, and disjoint from the convex set B, there exists a continuous linear functional $g \in X^*$ and a scalar $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(a) < c_2 \le g(b)$$
 for all $a \in A_\rho$, $b \in B$.

Because $A \subset A_{\rho}$ and A is compact, the image g(A) is compact in \mathbb{R} , and the supremum

$$c_1 := \sup_{a \in A} g(a)$$

is attained. Hence, we obtain

$$g(a) \le c_1 < c_2 \le g(b)$$
 for all $a \in A, b \in B$.

This establishes the strict separation between the sets A and B.

1.5 Open Mapping Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A subset $D \subset X$ is called *dense* in X if every nonempty open set $G \subset X$ intersects D; i.e. for every $x \in X$ and any open neighborhood $U \ni x$, $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.8 (Baire's Theorem). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\{D_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of subsets of X, where each D_n is open and dense in X. Then the intersection

$$D := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$$

is also dense in X.

Lemma 1.3. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and let $K \subset X$ be a closed, convex, and symmetric set such that

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nK$$
, where $nK := \{nx : x \in K\}$.

Then K must contain a neighborhood of the origin.

Proof. Since $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nK$, we obtain

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (nK)^c = \emptyset$$

Because K is closed, each $(nK)^c$ is open in X and in view of Theorem 1.8, K^c cannot be dense. This means that K has nonempty interior. So for some z, there exists a ball $B(z,r) \subset K$, i.e., K contains a ball centered at some point z. Now, since K is symmetric, it contains both z and -z, and since K is convex, it must also contain the midpoint between them, which is the origin:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}(-z) + \frac{1}{2}(z) \in K.$$

Using convexity again,

$$B(0, \frac{1}{2}r) = \frac{1}{2}(-z) + \frac{1}{2}B(z, r) \subset K.$$

Theorem 1.9 (Open Mapping Theorem). Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $T \in \alpha(X,Y)$ be surjective. Then T is an open mapping; that is, for every open set $U \subset X$, the image $T(U) \subset Y$ is also open.

Proof. We start by considering the image of the unit ball in X, defined as

$$K := \overline{T(B_X(0,1))}.$$

This set $K \subset Y$ is convex, symmetric, and closed. Because T is surjective, we have:

$$Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nK.$$

Now we use Lemma 1.3, which tells us that if a symmetric, convex, closed set K satisfies $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nK$, then K must contain a neighborhood of the origin. In particular, there exists a constant c > 0 such that:

$$B_Y(0,4c) \subset K$$
.

Observe that, for every $n \geq 0$

$$B_Y\left(0, \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}c\right) \subset \overline{T\left(B_X\left(0, \frac{1}{2^n}\right)\right)}.$$

Now we show that $B_Y(0,c) \subset T(B_X(0,1))$. Let $y \in B_Y(0,c)$. Then, since $B_Y(0,c) \subset \overline{T(B_X(0,1/4))}$, there exists a sequence of points in X that approximate y by T. Indeed, take $\varepsilon = \frac{c}{2}$. Then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that

$$||x_1|| < \frac{1}{4}$$
, and $||y - Tx_1|| < \frac{c}{2}$.

This implies that the remainder $y - Tx_1 \in B_Y(0, c/2) \subset \overline{T(B_X(0, 1/8))}$, so we can find $x_2 \in X$ with

$$||x_2|| < \frac{1}{8}$$
, and $||y - Tx_1 - Tx_2|| < \frac{c}{4}$.

Proceeding inductively, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose $x_n \in X$ such that

$$||x_n|| < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ and } ||y - \sum_{k=1}^n Tx_k|| < \frac{c}{2^n}.$$

Define the partial sums

$$z_n := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k.$$

Then the sequence $\{z_n\}$ is Cauchy, because for n > m,

$$||z_n - z_m|| = \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n x_k \right\| \le \sum_{k=m+1}^n ||x_k|| < \sum_{k=m+1}^\infty \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}.$$

So $\{z_n\}$ converges in the Banach space X to some element $z \in X$. By continuity of T, we have

$$Tz = \lim_{n \to \infty} Tz_n = y.$$

Furthermore,

$$||z|| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_n|| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2},$$

which shows that $z \in B_X(0,1)$, and thus $y = Tz \in T(B_X(0,1))$. This proves that

$$B_Y(0,c) \subset T(B_X(0,1)).$$

So we have shown that the image of the unit ball under T contains an open ball around zero in Y. Now we use this to show that T maps any open set in X to an open set in Y. Let $U \subset X$ be any open set, and take any point $y \in T(U)$. Then there exists $x \in U$ such that T(x) = y. Since U is open, we can find a radius T > 0 such that:

$$B_X(x,r) \subset U$$
.

Then:

$$T(U) \supset T(B_X(x,r)) = T(x + B_X(0,r)) = y + T(B_X(0,r)).$$

But since $T(B_X(0,r)) = r \cdot T(B_X(0,1)) \supset r \cdot B_Y(0,c) = B_Y(0,rc)$, we conclude that:

$$T(U) \supset B_Y(y, rc).$$

This means that T(U) contains an open ball around every point $y \in T(U)$, so T(U) is open in Y.

Theorem 1.10 (Bounded Inverse Theorem). Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a bijective bounded linear operator. Then its inverse $T^{-1}: Y \to X$ is also bounded and linear; that is, $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y,X)$.

Proof. Since T is a bounded bijective linear operator between Banach spaces, the Open Mapping Theorem 1.9 applies. Thus, T is an open mapping. In particular, the image of the unit ball in X, defined by

$$V := \{ T(x) : ||x||_X \le 1 \},$$

contains a ball around the origin in Y; that is, there exists r > 0 such that:

$$B_Y(0,r) \subset T(B_X(0,1)).$$

Now take any $y \in B_Y(0,r)$. Then y = T(x) for some $x \in B_X(0,1)$, hence:

$$||T^{-1}(y)||_X = ||x||_X < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$T^{-1}(B_Y(0,r)) \subset B_X(0,1).$$

This implies that T^{-1} is bounded and

$$||T^{-1}|| \le \frac{1}{r}.$$

Corollary 1.3. Let $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ be two norms on a vector space X, and suppose both norms are complete (i.e., define Banach spaces), and there exists c > 0 such that

$$||x||_1 \le c||x||_2$$
 for all $x \in X$.

Then the norms are equivalent; that is, there exists c' > 0 such that

$$||x||_2 \le c' ||x||_1 \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Proof. Consider the identity map:

$$\mathrm{Id}: (X, \|\cdot\|_2) \to (X, \|\cdot\|_1).$$

This map is clearly linear, and the assumption $||x||_1 \le c||x||_2$ means that it is bounded.

Since both normed spaces are Banach (complete), and the identity map is bijective and bounded, we can apply Theorem 1.10, the inverse map

$$\mathrm{Id}^{-1}: (X, \|\cdot\|_1) \to (X, \|\cdot\|_2)$$

is also bounded. That means:

$$||x||_2 \le c' ||x||_1$$
 for some $c' > 0$.

Hence, the norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are equivalent.

1.6 Banach-Steinhaus Theorem

Theorem 1.11. Let X be a Banach space and Y be a normed vector space. Let $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \alpha(X, Y)$ be a family of bounded linear operators such that:

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} ||T(x)||_Y < \infty \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Then:

$$\sup_{T\in\mathcal{T}}\|T\|_{\alpha(X,Y)}<\infty.$$

Proof. Define the set

$$K = \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} ||T(x)||_Y \le 1 \right\}.$$

It is easy to verify that K is a closed, convex, and symmetric subset of X. For any $x \in X$, define:

$$M_x = \sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} ||T(x)||_Y < \infty.$$

Then

$$\left\| T\left(\frac{x}{M_x}\right) \right\|_Y = \frac{\|T(x)\|_Y}{M_x} \le 1 \quad \text{for all } T \in \mathcal{T},$$

which implies

$$\frac{x}{M_x} \in K$$

and $x \in M_x K$. Therefore

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nK.$$

By Lemma 1.3, it follows that K contains a neighborhood of the origin. Thus, there exists r > 0 such that the open ball $B(0,r) \subseteq K$. Hence $\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \|T\| \le r^{-1}$.

1.7 Closed Graph Theorem

Theorem 1.12. If $T: X \to Y$ is a linear operator between Banach spaces, and its graph is closed in $X \times Y$, then T is bounded, i.e. $T \in \alpha(X, Y)$.

Proof. Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and consider their direct sum $X \oplus Y$ equipped with the norm

$$||(x,y)|| := ||x||_X + ||y||_Y.$$

Define the canonical projections:

$$P_X: X \oplus Y \to X, \quad P_X(x,y) := x,$$

$$P_Y: X \oplus Y \to Y, \quad P_Y(x,y) := y.$$

Both projections P_X and P_Y are bounded linear operators, since they act as coordinate projections in the Banach space $X \oplus Y$. Observe that $Z := Graph(T) \subset X \oplus Y$ is a closed subspace such that the restriction

$$P_X|_Z:Z\to X$$

is bijective. Then, by Theorem 1.10, its inverse

$$(P_X|_Z)^{-1}: X \to Z$$

is also a bounded linear operator. Define the operator $T: X \to Y$ by

$$T := P_Y \circ (P_X|_Z)^{-1}$$
.

In other words, for each $x \in X$, we find the unique $(x, y) \in Z$ with first coordinate x, and define T(x) := y. Since both P_Y and $(P_X|_Z)^{-1}$ are bounded, the composition T is also bounded. Therefore,

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$$
.

1.7.1 Neuman series

Theorem 1.13 (Neumann Series). Let X be a Banach space and let $A \in \alpha(X, X)$ be such that ||A|| < 1. Then the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n = I + A + A^2 + A^3 + \dots$$

converges in the operator norm to a bounded operator $S \in \alpha(X,X)$, and this operator satisfies

$$S = (I - A)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Step 1: Convergence of the series. Since ||A|| < 1, the sequence of partial sums

$$S_N = \sum_{n=0}^N A^n$$

is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space $\alpha(X,X)$. Indeed, for M>N we have

$$||S_M - S_N|| = \left\| \sum_{n=N+1}^M A^n \right\| \le \sum_{n=N+1}^M ||A||^n \le \frac{||A||^{N+1}}{1 - ||A||}.$$

Since the right-hand side tends to 0 as $N \to \infty$, (S_N) is Cauchy. Because $\alpha(X,X)$ is Banach, S_N converges in norm to some $S \in \alpha(X,X)$. Step 2: Computation of the limit. For each $N \ge 0$,

$$(I-A)S_N = (I-A)\sum_{n=0}^N A^n = \sum_{n=0}^N A^n - \sum_{n=0}^N A^{n+1} = I - A^{N+1}.$$

Taking the limit as $N \to \infty$ gives

$$(I - A)S = \lim_{N \to \infty} (I - A)S_N = I - \lim_{N \to \infty} A^{N+1}.$$

Since $||A^{N+1}|| \le ||A||^{N+1} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$, we obtain

$$(I - A)S = I.$$

Similarly, one shows S(I - A) = I. Thus S is the inverse of (I - A), i.e.

$$S = (I - A)^{-1}.$$

We conclude that the Neumann series converges in $\alpha(X,X)$ and its sum is the inverse of I-A.

1.8 Strong and Weak Convergence

Definition 1.5. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges *strongly* to x if $||x_n - x|| \to 0$.

Definition 1.6. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to x if $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ for all $f \in X^*$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space. We define the so-called *canonical embedding*

$$J = J_X : X \to X^{**} = (X^*)^*$$
, by $J_X(x)(f) := f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $f \in X^*$.

Theorem 1.14. J_X is a linear and injective operator. Moreover

$$||J_X(x)||_{X^{**}} = ||x||_X.$$

for every $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Then $x - y \neq 0$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem 1.3, there exists a functional $g \in X^*$ such that

$$||g||_{X^*} = 1$$
 and $g(x - y) = ||x - y||_X > 0$.

Thus,

$$J_X(x)(g) = g(x) \neq g(y) = J_X(y)(g),$$

so $J_X(x) \neq J_X(y)$, which shows that J_X is injective. Next, we compute the norm of $J_X(x) \in X^{**}$:

$$||J_X(x)||_{X^{**}} = \sup_{f \in X^*, ||f||_{X^*} \le 1} |J_X(x)(f)| = \sup_{||f||_{X^*} \le 1} |f(x)| = ||x||_X.$$

The inequality $||J_X(x)||_{X^{**}} \leq ||x||_X$ follows directly from the dual norm inequality:

$$|f(x)| \le ||f||_{X^*} \cdot ||x||_X.$$

To obtain equality, again a the Hahn–Banach theorem 1.3, there exists $f \in X^*$ with $||f||_{X^*} = 1$ and $f(x) = ||x||_X$. Then:

$$||J_X(x)||_{X^{**}} \ge |J_X(x)(f)| = |f(x)| = ||x||_X.$$

Combining both bounds gives:

$$||J_X(x)||_{X^{**}} = ||x||_X,$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 1.15. Let X and Y be normed vector spaces, and let $\{x_n\} \subset X$. Then

- (i) If $x_n \to x$ strongly in X, then $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ weakly in X.
- (ii) If $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ weakly in X, then the sequence $\{||x_n||_X\}$ is bounded and

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n\|_X \ge \|x\|_X.$$

(iii) If $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ weakly in X and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, then $Tx_n \rightharpoonup Tx$ weakly in Y.

Proof. (i) Let $f \in X^*$. Since

$$|f(x_n) - f(x)| = |f(x_n - x)| \le ||f||_{X^*} \cdot ||x_n - x||_X \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

we conclude that $f(x_n) \to f(x)$, which means $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ weakly in X.

(ii) By the Hahn–Banach Theorem 1.3, there exists a functional $f \in X^*$ such that $||f||_{X^*} = 1$ and $f(x) = ||x||_X$. Since $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, we have

$$f(x_n) \to f(x) = ||x||_X$$
.

Also, since $|f(x_n)| \leq ||f||_{X^*} \cdot ||x_n||_X$, it follows that

$$||x||_X = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} ||x_n||_X.$$

Assume that $x_n \rightharpoonup x$. Then, by definition of weak convergence, we have:

$$J(x_n)(f) = f(x_n) \to f(x) = J(x)(f), \text{ for all } f \in X^*.$$

This means that the sequence $\{J(x_n)\}\subset X^{**}$ converges pointwise on X^* to J(x). Hence

$$\sup_{n>1}|J(x_n)(f)|<\infty$$

for every $f \in X^*$. Hence, for each $f \in X^*$, the sequence $\{J_X(x_n)(f)\}$ is bounded. By the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem 1.11, it follows that the sequence $\{J_X(x_n)\}$ is uniformly bounded in X^{**} , i.e.

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \|J_X(x_n)\|_{X^{**}} < \infty.$$

Now, by Theorem 1.14 we conclude:

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \|x_n\|_X = \sup_{n\geq 1} \|J_X(x_n)\|_{X^{**}} < \infty.$$

This proves that any weakly convergent sequence in X is norm-bounded.

(iii) Let $g \in Y^*$. Then $g \circ T \in X^*$, so

$$g(Tx_n) = (g \circ T)(x_n) \to (g \circ T)(x) = g(Tx),$$

which proves that $Tx_n \rightharpoonup Tx$ weakly in Y.

Example 1.3. Let $1 , and define a sequence <math>\{u_n\} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$u_n(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [n, n+1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This sequence converges weakly to zero in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, but not strongly. Indeed, observe that for any $n \neq m$,

$$||u_n - u_m||_{L^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_n(t) - u_m(t)|^p dt\right)^{1/p} = 2^{1/p},$$

because their supports are disjoint. So the sequence is not Cauchy, hence not strongly convergent. To prove weak convergence, recall that any $f \in (L^p)^*$ corresponds to a function $v \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ (where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$) such that

$$f(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)v(t) dt.$$

Then, by the Hölder inequality and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$f(u_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_n(t)v(t) dt = \int_n^{n+1} v(t) dt \le \left(\int_n^{n+1} |v(t)|^q dt\right)^{1/q} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

because $v \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

1.8.1 Eberlein-Šmulian theorem

The weak topology on X is the coarsest topology on X such that all elements of X^* remain continuous.

In general topological spaces (especially non-metrizable ones), compactness does not coincide with sequential compactness. The weak topology on an infinite-dimensional Banach space is not metrizable, so sequential compactness does not automatically follow from compactness. However the following theorem shows that weak compactness and weak sequential compactness are equivalent in Banach spaces.

Theorem 1.16 (Eberlein–Šmulian Theorem). Let X be a Banach space and $A \subset X$. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. A is weakly compact.
- 2. A is weakly sequentially compact (every sequence in A has a weakly convergent subsequence).

1.8.2 Remarks on integrability and Vitali Convergence Theorem

For a family $\{f_n\} \subset L^1(X,\mu)$ on a (possibly infinite) measure space, we say it is tight if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists \; \text{measurable} \; E_0 \subset X, \; \mu(E_0) < \infty \; \text{such that} \; \sup_n \int_{X \setminus E_0} |f_n| \, d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 1.17 (Vitali Convergence Theorem). Suppose that

- 1. The family $\{f_n\} \subset L^1(X,\mu)$ is tight,
- 2. and $\{f_n\}$ is uniformly integrable, i.e. for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all measurable $A \subset X$ with $\mu(A) < \delta$,

$$\sup_{n} \int_{A} |f_n| \, d\mu < \epsilon.$$

If $f_n \to f$ pointwise a.e. on X, then f is integrable on X and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{Y} |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0,$$

that is, $f_n \to f$ in $L^1(X, \mu)$.

The theorem generalizes the Dominated Convergence Theorem, where domination by an integrable function implies tightness and uniform integrability.

1.9 Weak* Topology and Banach-Alaoglu Theorem

Definition 1.7. If $\{f_n\} \subset X^*$ is a sequence, then we say that f_n converges to $f \in X^*$ in the weak* topology $f_n \stackrel{\sim}{\to} f$ provided that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Theorem 1.18 (Banach-Alaoglu). The closed unit ball

$$D_{X^*} = \{ f \in X^* : ||f|| \le 1 \}$$

in the dual of a normed space is compact in the weak* topology.

Proof. For each $x \in X$, consider the closed interval:

$$[-\|x\|,\|x\|] \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Now define the product space:

$$K := \prod_{x \in X} [-\|x\|, \|x\|].$$

Each coordinate space is compact in \mathbb{R} , and so by Tychonoff's Theorem (in its elementary form for products of compact metric spaces), the product K is compact with the product topology. Define a map

$$\Phi: D_{X^*} \to K, \quad \Phi(f) := (f(x))_{x \in X}.$$

This is well-defined since for all $f \in B_{X^*}$, we have:

$$|f(x)| \le ||f|| \cdot ||x|| \le ||x||$$
, so $f(x) \in [-||x||, ||x||]$.

The mapping Φ is injective and continuous with respect to the weak* topology in D_{X^*} Therefore, $\Phi(D_{X^*})$ is a subset of a compact space K, and since it is closed in the weak-* topology, it is compact. Thus, the unit ball D_{X^*} is compact in the weak-* topology.

1.10 Reflexive Spaces

Definition 1.8. A Banach space X is *reflexive* if the natural map $J: X \to X^{**}$ defined by J(x)(f) = f(x) is surjective.

Theorem 1.19. Any reflexive normed space is a Banach space.

Proof. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a reflexive normed space. By definition the canonical map

$$J_X: X \to X^{**}, \quad J_X(x)(f) = f(x) \text{ for all } f \in X^*,$$

is an isometric isomorphism onto its image, and moreover, $J_X(X) = X^{**}$. That is, $X \cong X^{**}$ isometrically and surjectively. Since the dual space X^* is a Banach space, then bidual X^{**} is also a Banach space. Therefore X inherits completeness from X^{**} , and hence X is also a Banach space.

Corollary 1.4. Let X be a reflexive Banach space. Then every bounded sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ has a subsequence that converges weakly in X.

Proof. Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists R > 0 such that $x_n \in RD_X$ for all n, where $D_X = \{x \in X : ||x|| \le 1\}$ is the closed unit ball. Since X is reflexive, the canonical embedding $J: X \to X^{**}$ is surjective. By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the closed unit ball $D_{X^{**}} \subset X^{**}$ is weak* compact. Reflexivity implies that $J(D_X) = D_{X^{**}}$ is weakly compact in X.

Therefore, the bounded closed set RD_X is weakly compact in X. By the Eberlein-Šmulian theorem, weak compactness implies weak sequential compactness, so (x_n) has a weakly convergent subsequence.

Example 1.4 (Reflexive Banach Spaces). The following are examples of reflexive Banach spaces:

- Every finite-dimensional normed space, e.g. \mathbb{R}^n .
- Every Hilbert space H (by the Riesz Representation Theorem) we will see later.
- $L^p(\mu)$ spaces for $1 (with dual <math>L^q(\mu)$, 1/p + 1/q = 1).
- ℓ^p spaces for $1 (with dual <math>\ell^q$).

Example 1.5 (Non-Reflexive Banach Spaces). The following are not reflexive:

- $L^1(\mu)$ and $L^{\infty}(\mu)$.
- ℓ^1 and ℓ^∞ .
- c_0 , the space of sequences converging to 0.

Theorem 1.20. Any closed subspace of a reflexive Banach space is reflexive.

Proof. Let X be a reflexive Banach space and $Y \subset X$ a closed subspace. We want to show that Y is reflexive. Since Y is a Banach space with the induced norm, consider its dual Y^* . By the Hahn–Banach theorem, each $f \in Y^*$ can be extended to an element $F \in X^*$ with the same norm. Thus, Y^* can be identified with the quotient space

$$X^*/Y^{\perp}$$
,

where

$$Y^{\perp} = \{ F \in X^* : F(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y \}.$$

Taking duals again, we have

$$(Y^*)^* \cong (X^*/Y^{\perp})^*.$$

From basic duality theory, the dual of a quotient space is isometrically isomorphic to the annihilator of the quotient, that is,

$$(X^*/Y^{\perp})^* \cong (Y^{\perp})^{\perp} \subset X^{**}.$$

Because X is reflexive, we have $X^{**} \cong X$. Hence

$$(Y^*)^* \cong (Y^\perp)^\perp \subset X.$$

But $(Y^{\perp})^{\perp}$ is exactly the weak-* closure of Y in X^{**} , which equals Y since Y is closed in X. Thus

$$(Y^*)^* \cong Y$$
,

so Y is reflexive.

Lemma 1.4 (Riesz's Lemma). Let X be a normed space and $Y \subset X$ be a proper closed subspace $(Y \neq X)$. Then for every $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists $x \in X$ such that

$$||x|| = 1$$
 and $\operatorname{dist}(x, Y) > \alpha$,

where

$$\operatorname{dist}(x,Y) := \inf_{y \in Y} \|x - y\|.$$

Proof. Since $Y \neq X$, there exists $z \in X \setminus Y$. Because Y is closed, the distance

$$d := \operatorname{dist}(z, Y) = \inf_{y \in Y} ||z - y|| > 0.$$

Choose $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$$||z - y_0|| < \frac{d}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Define

$$x := \frac{z - y_0}{\|z - y_0\|}.$$

Then ||x|| = 1. For any $y \in Y$, we have $y + y_0 \in Y$, so

$$||x - y|| = \frac{||z - y_0 - ||z - y_0||y||}{||z - y_0||}.$$

By the choice of y_0 , one shows that $\operatorname{dist}(x, Y) > \alpha$. Hence, such an x exists, which completes the proof.

Using Riesz's lemma, in an infinite-dimensional normed space X we can find a sequence $(x_n) \subset B_X$ such that

$$||x_n|| = 1$$
 and $||x_n - x_m|| \ge \frac{1}{2}$ for $n \ne m$.

This sequence has no convergent subsequence. Hence B_X is never compact (in the strong topology) provided that X is infinite-dimensional.

1.11 Compact Operators

Definition 1.9. $T: X \to Y$ is *compact* if it maps bounded sets to relatively compact sets.

The following are equivalent ways to characterize when a linear operator T is compact:

- 1. T is a compact operator.
- 2. For every bounded sequence $(x_n) \subset X$, there exists a subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that (Tx_{n_k}) converges in Y.

We denote by $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$ the set of all *compact operators*.

Theorem 1.21. Let X be a normed space, Y a Banach space, and (T_n) a sequence of compact operators $T_n: X \to Y$ such that $T_n \to T$ in the operator norm. Then T is also a compact operator, i.e. $T \in \mathcal{K}(X,Y)$. In other words, $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$ is a closed linear subspace of $\alpha(X,Y)$.

Proof. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$. By the compactness properties, it is enough to prove that the set for any ε , the set $T(B_X(0,1))$ can be covered by finitely many balls in Y of radius ε (i.e. it is totally bounded). Since $T_n \to T$ in the operator norm, we can choose an index m_1 such that

$$||T_{m_1} - T|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. (1)$$

Since T_{m_1} is compact, there exist points $z_1, \ldots, z_k \in Y$ such that

$$T_{m_1}(B_X(0,1)) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k B_Y(z_j, \varepsilon/2).$$
 (2)

Now, for every $x \in B_X(0,1)$, from (1) and (2) we can find an index j with

$$||T(x) - z_j||_Y \le ||T(x) - T_{m_1}(x)||_Y + ||T_{m_1}(x) - z_j||_Y < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$T(B_X(0,1)) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k B_Y(z_j,\varepsilon).$$

This shows that $T(B_X(0,1))$ is totally bounded, and therefore T is compact.

Definition 1.10. A linear operator $T: X \to Y$ is said to have *finite rank* if its range R(T) := T(X) is a finite-dimensional subspace of Y.

If we take vectors $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in Y$ and bounded linear functionals $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in X^*$, then the operator

$$Tx = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i(x) y_i \tag{*}$$

has finite rank because its range is contained in the finite-dimensional subspace of Y spanned by y_1, \ldots, y_m .

Lemma 1.5. Every finite rank operator can be written in the form (*).

Proof. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_m \in Y$ be a basis of R(T). Any element $y \in R(T)$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$y = c_1 y_1 + \dots + c_m y_m,$$

where the coefficients c_i depend linearly and continuously on y. These coefficients define bounded linear functionals $c_i : R(T) \to \mathbb{R}$. Thus, for any $y \in R(T)$ we have

$$y = c_1(y)y_1 + \dots + c_m(y)y_m.$$

In particular, for all $x \in X$,

$$Tx = c_1(Tx)y_1 + \dots + c_m(Tx)y_m.$$

Hence T has the form (*) if we define $\lambda_i(x) = c_i(Tx)$.

Theorem 1.22. Every finite rank operator $T: X \to Y$ is compact.

Proof. Take any bounded sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$. Then the sequence $\{Tx_n\} \subset Y$ is bounded and lies in R(T). Since R(T) is finite-dimensional, every bounded sequence in R(T) has a convergent subsequence. Therefore T is compact.

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. A bounded linear operator

$$T:X \to Y$$

is called a *Fredholm operator* if the following conditions hold:

- 1. The kernel $\ker(T) = \{x \in X : Tx = 0\}$ is finite-dimensional.
- 2. The range $R(T) = \{Tx : x \in X\}$ is closed in Y.
- 3. The cokernel Y/R(T) is finite-dimensional, i.e.

$$\operatorname{codim}(R(T)) = \dim(Y/R(T)) < \infty.$$

The index of a Fredholm operator T is defined as

$$index(T) = dim(ker T) - codim(R(T)).$$

Theorem 1.23 (Atkinson). Let $K: X \to X$ be a compact operator on a Banach space X. Then the operator I - K is Fredholm of index 0.

1.11.1 Spectrum o a bounded operators

Let X be a real vector space and $T: X \to X$ a real linear map. The *complexification* of X is

$$X_{\mathbb{C}} = X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \{ x + iy \mid x, y \in X \}.$$

The complexification of T, denoted $T_{\mathbb{C}}$, is the map

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}: X_{\mathbb{C}} \to X_{\mathbb{C}}, \qquad T_{\mathbb{C}}(x+iy) = Tx + iTy,$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Properties

1. $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ is \mathbb{C} -linear:

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}((a+ib)(x+iy)) = T_{\mathbb{C}}((ax-by)+i(ay+bx)) = T(ax-by)+iT(ay+bx),$$

which equals

$$(a+ib)(Tx+iTy) = (a+ib)T_{\mathbb{C}}(x+iy).$$

2. $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ extends T: if we identify X with $X+i0\subset X_{\mathbb{C}}$, then

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}(x) = T(x).$$

Definition 1.11. Let X be a normed space and let $T \in \alpha(X,X)$. We define:

• The resolvent set of T as

$$\rho(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is bijective} \}.$$

• The spectrum of T as

$$\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T).$$

• A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an *eigenvalue* of T if

$$ker(\lambda I - T) \neq \{0\}.$$

Even though T is real, the spectral parameter λ is taken in \mathbb{C} . This is because the characteristic polynomial of a real matrix or operator may have complex roots.

Note that if λ is an eigenvalue of T if there exists $u \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Tu = \lambda u$. This agrees with the usual definition of eigenvalues for matrices.

Theorem 1.24. Let $T \in \alpha(X, X)$ be a bounded linear operator on a Banach space X. Then the resolvent set $\rho(T)$ is open.

Proof. Let $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$. Then $\lambda_0 I - T$ is invertible. Denote

$$R_0 = (\lambda_0 I - T)^{-1} \in \alpha(X, X).$$

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we can write

$$\lambda I - T = (\lambda_0 I - T) + (\lambda - \lambda_0)I = (\lambda_0 I - T) [I + (\lambda - \lambda_0)R_0].$$

Since $\lambda_0 I - T$ is invertible, the invertibility of $\lambda I - T$ is equivalent to that of

$$I - (\lambda_0 - \lambda)R_0$$
.

Let $M = ||R_0||$. if

$$|\lambda - \lambda_0| < \frac{1}{M},$$

then

$$\|(\lambda_0 - \lambda)R_0\| \le |\lambda - \lambda_0| \|R_0\| < 1.$$

By the Neumann series (Theorem 1.13), $I - (\lambda_0 - \lambda)R_0$ is invertible with

$$(I - (\lambda_0 - \lambda)R_0)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \lambda_0)R_0)^n,$$

which converges in operator norm. Therefore, for all λ in the disc

$$B(\lambda_0, 1/||R_0||) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda - \lambda_0| < 1/||R_0||\} \subset \rho(T).$$

Hence, $\rho(T)$ is open.

1.11.2 Types of Spectrum

The spectrum can be decomposed into:

1. Point spectrum $\sigma_p(T)$: set of eigenvalues, i.e.

$$\sigma_p(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \ker(\lambda I - T) \neq \{0\} \}.$$

- 2. Continuous spectrum $\sigma_c(T)$: $\lambda I T$ is injective and has dense range, but not surjective.
- 3. Residual spectrum $\sigma_r(T)$: $\lambda I T$ is injective, but its range is not dense in X.

Lemma 1.6 (Spectral Radius Formula). Let X be a Banach space and $T \in \alpha(X, X)$ be a bounded linear operator. Then

$$\sigma(T) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \le ||T||\}.$$

Hence $\sigma(T)$ is compact.

Proof. Take any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| > ||T||$. We will prove that $\lambda I - T$ is invertible, which implies that $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ and therefore $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. We can write

$$\lambda I - T = \lambda \left(I - \frac{1}{\lambda} T \right).$$

Because $|\lambda| > ||T||$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} T \right\| = \frac{\|T\|}{|\lambda|} < 1.$$

Now we use the Neumann series for $A = \frac{1}{\lambda}T$. Since ||A|| < 1, the Neumann series converges, so

$$\left(I - \frac{1}{\lambda}T\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}T\right)^{n}.$$

Hence

$$(\lambda I - T)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(I - \frac{1}{\lambda} T \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} T \right)^n,$$

and this series converges in operator norm. Therefore $\lambda I - T$ is invertible, which implies that $\lambda \in \rho(T)$. Since this holds for all $|\lambda| > ||T||$, we conclude that

$$\sigma(T) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \le ||T||\}.$$

Theorem 1.25 (Spectral Theorem for Compact Operators). Let X be an infinite-dimensional

- 1. $0 \in \sigma(T)$;
- 2. Every $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}$ is an eigenvalue of T;

Banach space (complex or real) and $T \in \mathcal{K}(X,X)$. Then

3. If $\sigma(T)$ is infinite, then $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup \{0\}$ with $\lambda_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. (a) If $0 \notin \sigma(T)$, then T is invertible. But $I = TT^{-1}$ would then be compact, implying that the unit ball of X is compact, which contradicts the infinite-dimensionality of X.

- (b) Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$ is in $\sigma(T)$ but is not an eigenvalue. Then $\lambda I T$ is injective, but not surjective. Since $N(\lambda I T) = \{0\}$ and $\lambda I T$ is Fredholm of index 0, we obtain that $R(\lambda I T) = X$, hence $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, a contradiction.
- (c) Assume that $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}$ is such that $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ as $n \to \infty$. Since for each $n \geq 1$, λ_n is an eigenvalue of T, choose $e_n \neq 0$ an eigenvector of T corresponding to λ_n . For every $n \geq 1$, the eigenvectors e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n are linearly independent. We argue by induction. Suppose e_1, \ldots, e_n are linearly independent and consider e_{n+1} . If e_{n+1} were a linear combination of e_1, \ldots, e_n , relabeling if necessary, we could write

$$e_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i.$$

Applying T to both sides,

$$Te_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1}e_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \lambda_{n+1}e_i,$$

but also

$$Te_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \lambda_i e_i.$$

Since e_1, \ldots, e_n are linearly independent, we get

$$\alpha_i(\lambda_i - \lambda_{n+1}) = 0$$
 for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Because $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_{n+1}$, this forces $\alpha_i = 0$ for all i, contradicting $e_{n+1} \neq 0$. Thus the claim is proved.

Now, we construct a sequence violating compactness. Indeed, set $E_n = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. By the claim, the sequence $\{E_n\}$ forms a strictly increasing chain of subspaces. By the Riesz Lemma, for each $n \geq 2$ there exists $u_n \in E_n$ such that

$$||u_n|| = 1$$
 and $dist(u_n, E_{n-1}) \ge \frac{1}{2}$

For $n > m \ge 2$, note that

$$(\lambda_n I - T)(E_n) \subseteq E_{n-1}, \qquad (\lambda_m I - T)(E_n) \subseteq E_{m-1}.$$

From this it follows that

$$\frac{Tu_n}{\lambda_n} - \frac{Tu_m}{\lambda_m} \in u_n - u_m + E_{n-1} = u_n + E_{n-1}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{Tu_n}{\lambda_n} - \frac{Tu_m}{\lambda_m} \right\| \ge \operatorname{dist}(u_n, E_{n-1}) \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Because T is compact and $||u_n|| = 1$, the sequence $\{Tu_n\}$ must have a convergent subsequence. However, the above inequality shows that $\{Tu_n\}$ cannot be Cauchy, a contradiction. Finally, write

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, \qquad A_n = \sigma(T) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \ge 1/n\}.$$

By Step 1, each A_n is finite, hence $\sigma(T)$ is at most countable. If $\sigma(T)$ is infinite, then 0 is its only accumulation point. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1.5. Let $T: X \to X$ be a compact operator on a Banach space X. Then every $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}$ is an eigenvalue of T, and its eigenspace $\ker(T - \lambda I)$ is finite-dimensional.

Proof. Since $\ker(\lambda I - T) \neq \{0\}$ and $\lambda I - T$ is Fredholm, $\dim \ker(T - \lambda I) < \infty$. Hence the eigenvalue λ has finite multiplicity.

Remark 1.3. Although 0 is always in the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ when X is infinite-dimensional, it is not always in the continuous spectrum $\sigma_c(T)$.

• If T is not injective, then 0 is an eigenvalue (point spectrum).

$$0 \in \sigma_p(T) \iff \ker(T) \neq \{0\}.$$

• If T is injective but not surjective, and $\overline{Ran}(T) = X$, then

$$0 \in \sigma_c(T)$$
,

i.e. 0 lies in the continuous spectrum.

• If T is injective but $\overline{\text{Ran}(T)} \neq X$, then 0 is in the residual spectrum.

Remark 1.4. In finite-dimensional spaces, linear maps T are precisely matrices. In this case, the spectrum consists only of eigenvalues:

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T),$$

and there is no continuous spectrum and no residual spectrum

Examples

Example 1.6. Let $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ be defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{3}, \frac{x_3}{4}, \dots\right).$$

Each $\lambda_n = 1/(n+1)$ is an eigenvalue with eigenvector e_n . The value 0 is not an eigenvalue (no nonzero vector is mapped to 0), but it is in the spectrum as an accumulation point. The point spectrum (set of eigenvalues) is $\{1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \dots\}$, and 0 belongs to the continuous spectrum.

Example 1.7. Consider the right shift operator $S: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ defined by

$$S(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Observe that 0 is not an eigenvalue, since $Sx=0 \implies x=0$. The range is not dense, so 0 is not in the continuous spectrum. Hence,

$$0 \in \sigma_r(S),$$

that is, 0 lies in the residual spectrum of S.

Example 1.8. The operator $T: C[0,1] \to C[0,1]$, defined by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^x f(y) \, dy,$$

is is compact and there are no eigenvalues of T. $\sigma(T) = \sigma_c(T) = \{0\}$.

1.11.3 Adjoint Operator in complex Banach Spaces

Let X be a Banach space, and let X^* denote its dual space. If $T: X \to X$ is a bounded linear operator, its adjoint operator

$$T^*: X^* \to X^*$$

is defined by

$$(T^*f)(x) = f(Tx)$$
 for all $f \in X^*$, $x \in X$.

Equivalently, $T^*f = f \circ T$.

Lemma 1.7 (Properties of the Adjoint Operator). Let $T, S \in \alpha(X, X)$ be bounded linear operators on a Banach space X, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

- 1. Linearity: $(S+T)^* = S^* + T^*$, $(\alpha T)^* = \overline{\alpha} T^*$.
- 2. Norm equality: $||T^*|| = ||T||$.
- 3. Composition rule: $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$.
- 4. Double adjoint: If $J: X \to X^{**}$ is the canonical embedding, then

$$J \circ T = T^{**} \circ J$$

where $T^{**}: X^{**} \to X^{**}$ is the double adjoint.

5. If X is reflexive (i.e. J is surjective), then T^{**} can be naturally identified with a bounded operator on X itself.

1.12 Hilbert Spaces, Projections and Orthogonality

A Hilbert space is a complete inner product space.

Definition 1.12 (Complex Hilbert Space). A complex Hilbert space is a vector space H over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , equipped with an inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \to \mathbb{C},$$

which satisfies, for all $x, y, z \in H$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$:

- 1. Conjugate symmetry: $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$.
- 2. Linearity in the first argument: $\langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle$.
- 3. Positive-definiteness: $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$, and $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ if and only if x = 0.

The inner product induces a norm by

$$||x|| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}.$$

The space H is called a *Hilbert space* if it is *complete* with respect to this norm, i.e., every Cauchy sequence in H converges to a limit in H.

Lemma 1.8 (Parallelogram Law). For any $x, y \in H$,

$$||x + y||^2 + ||x - y||^2 = 2||x||^2 + 2||y||^2.$$

Proof. By the definition of the norm induced by the inner product:

$$||x + y||^2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle = ||x||^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + ||y||^2,$$

$$||x - y||^2 = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle = ||x||^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + ||y||^2.$$

Adding these two equalities gives:

$$||x + y||^2 + ||x - y||^2 = 2||x||^2 + 2||y||^2.$$

Definition 1.13. A normed space X is called *uniformly convex* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with

$$||x|| = ||y|| = 1$$
 and $||x - y|| \ge \varepsilon$,

it holds that

$$\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \le 1 - \delta.$$

Theorem 1.26. Every Hilbert space is uniformly convex.

Proof. Let H be a Hilbert space. Suppose ||x|| = ||y|| = 1. Then using the parallelogram law:

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) - \frac{1}{4} \|x-y\|^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{4} \|x-y\|^2.$$

If $||x - y|| \ge \varepsilon$, then:

$$\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|^2 \le 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} \Rightarrow \left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\| \le \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}} < 1.$$

So for any $\varepsilon > 0$, choosing $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}} > 0$ shows uniform convexity.

Theorem 1.27. Every uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive.

This is a classical result in functional analysis. It follows from the Milman–Pettis theorem, which shows that the closed unit ball in a uniformly convex Banach space is weakly compact, implying reflexivity by the Eberlein–Šmulian theorem. We leave this theorem without proof.

Corollary 1.6. Every Hilbert space is reflexive.

Theorem 1.28 (Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality). Let H be a Hilbert space. For all $x, y \in H$, we have

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| \cdot ||y||.$$

Equality holds if and only if x and y are linearly dependent.

Proof. If x = 0 or y = 0, then both sides are zero, and the inequality holds trivially. Assume $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Define a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\lambda := \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|^2}.$$

Now consider the norm of the vector $x - \lambda y$. Since norms are always non-negative, we have

$$0 \le ||x - \lambda y||^2 = \langle x - \lambda y, x - \lambda y \rangle.$$

Expanding using linearity of the inner product:

$$||x - \lambda y||^2 = \langle x, x \rangle - \overline{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle - \lambda \langle y, x \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \langle y, y \rangle.$$

Note that $\langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle}$, and $\langle y, y \rangle = ||y||^2$. Substitute:

$$= \|x\|^2 - \overline{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle - \lambda \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + |\lambda|^2 \|y\|^2.$$

Since $\lambda = \langle x, y \rangle / ||y||^2$, we compute:

$$|\lambda|^2 ||y||^2 = \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{||y||^2}.$$

Also,

$$\overline{\lambda}\langle x,y\rangle + \lambda \overline{\langle x,y\rangle} = 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\lambda}\langle x,y\rangle) = 2\frac{|\langle x,y\rangle|^2}{\|y\|^2}.$$

Putting it all together:

$$||x - \lambda y||^2 = ||x||^2 - 2\frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{||y||^2} + \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{||y||^2} = ||x||^2 - \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{||y||^2}.$$

Since $||x - \lambda y||^2 \ge 0$, we get:

$$||x||^2 - \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{||y||^2} \ge 0,$$

which implies:

$$|\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \le ||x||^2 \cdot ||y||^2$$
.

Taking square roots gives:

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| \cdot ||y||.$$

Equality: Equality holds if and only if $||x - \lambda y||^2 = 0$, i.e., $x = \lambda y$, so x and y are linearly dependent.

Theorem 1.29 (Projection Theorem). Let H be a Hilbert space and $M \subseteq H$ a closed subspace. Then for every $x \in H$ there exists a unique decomposition

$$x = y + z$$

where $y \in M$ and $z \in M^{\perp}$. Equivalently, there exists a unique $y \in M$ such that

$$||x - y|| = \inf_{m \in M} ||x - m||.$$

Proof. Existence. Fix $x \in H$. Consider the set

$$d = \inf_{m \in M} \|x - m\|.$$

Because M is closed and H is complete, there exists a sequence $(m_n) \subseteq M$ such that

$$||x - m_n|| \to d \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Since $||x - m_n||$ is bounded, (m_n) is a bounded sequence in H. Note that

$$\|(x-m_n) + (x-m_k)\| = 2\|x - \frac{m_n + m_k}{2}\| \ge 2d$$

and by Lemma 1.8,

$$\|(x - m_n) - (x - m_k)\|^2 + \|(x - m_n) + (x - m_k)\|^2 = 2\|(x - m_n)\|^2 + 2\|(x - m_k)\|^2.$$

It follows that (m_n) is Cauchy. Because M is closed, the limit $y = \lim_{n \to \infty} m_n$ exists and lies in M. Thus ||x - y|| = d, so y is a minimizer.

Orthogonality. Let z=x-y. We show that $z\in M^{\perp}$. Take any $m\in M$. For any scalar $t\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$||x - (y + tm)||^2 = ||z - tm||^2 = ||z||^2 - 2t \operatorname{Re}\langle z, m \rangle + t^2 ||m||^2.$$

Because y minimizes the distance, the function $f(t) = ||x - (y + tm)||^2$ has a minimum at t = 0, hence f'(0) = 0.

Differentiating:

$$f'(t) = -2\operatorname{Re}\langle z, m \rangle + 2t ||m||^2.$$

Thus $f'(0) = -2 \operatorname{Re} \langle z, m \rangle = 0$, giving $\langle z, m \rangle = 0$. Hence $z \in M^{\perp}$.

Uniqueness. Suppose $x = y_1 + z_1 = y_2 + z_2$ with $y_1, y_2 \in M$ and $z_1, z_2 \in M^{\perp}$. Then

$$(y_1 - y_2) = (z_2 - z_1).$$

The left side is in M, the right side in M^{\perp} . Hence both sides are in $M \cap M^{\perp} = \{0\}$, so $y_1 = y_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$.

1.13 Riesz Representation Theorem

Theorem 1.30 (Riesz). If H is a Hilbert space, then for every $f \in H^*$, there exists a unique $y \in H$ such that $f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$. Moreover,

$$||f|| = ||y||.$$

Proof. If f = 0, then take y = 0.

Assume $f \neq 0$. Then $\ker(f)$ is a closed proper subspace of H. By the projection theorem, we can choose a vector $z \in H$ such that

$$z \perp \ker(f), \qquad z \neq 0.$$

For any $x \in H$, we can write $x = u + \alpha z$, where $u \in \ker(f)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$f(x) = f(u + \alpha z) = f(u) + \alpha f(z) = \alpha f(z),$$

since f(u) = 0 for $u \in \ker(f)$. We define

$$y = \frac{f(z)}{\|z\|^2} z.$$

For any $x \in H$,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \left\langle u + \alpha z, \frac{\overline{f(z)}}{\|z\|^2} z \right\rangle = \alpha \frac{f(z)}{\|z\|^2} \langle z, z \rangle = \alpha f(z) = f(x).$$

Thus, such y exists.

Uniqueness: If $y_1, y_2 \in H$ satisfy $f(x) = \langle x, y_1 \rangle = \langle x, y_2 \rangle$ for all x, then $\langle x, y_1 - y_2 \rangle = 0$ for all x. Taking $x = y_1 - y_2$, we get $||y_1 - y_2||^2 = 0$, hence $y_1 = y_2$.

Norm equality: By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$|f(x)| = |\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| \, ||y||,$$

so $||f|| \le ||y||$. Taking x = y/||y|| (if $y \ne 0$) gives $||f|| \ge ||y||$. Hence ||f|| = ||y||.

1.14 Spectral Decomposition of Self-Adjoint Compact Operators

Theorem 1.31. Let H be a Hilbert space. Then any compact operator $T \in \mathcal{K}(H, H)$ is the norm limit of a sequence of finite-rank operators. That is, there exists a sequence $(T_n) \subset \mathcal{K}(H, K)$, each of finite rank, such that

$$||T - T_n|| \to 0$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Since $T: H \to H$ is compact, the image of the unit ball $B_H = \{x \in H : ||x|| \leq 1\}$ under T, denoted $T(B_H)$, has compact closure in H. Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \subset T(B_H)$ such that every $Tx \in T(B_H)$ can be approximated within ε by some y_j . Let F_{ε} be the finite-dimensional subspace spanned by these y_j , and let $P_{\varepsilon}: H \to F_{\varepsilon}$ be the orthogonal projection.

Now, define the operator

$$T_{\varepsilon} = P_{\varepsilon}T$$
.

Then T_{ε} is a finite-rank operator, since $R(T_{\varepsilon}) \subset F_{\varepsilon}$. Observe that, for all $x \in B_H$,

$$||Tx - T_{\varepsilon}x|| = ||Tx - P_{\varepsilon}Tx|| \le \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $||T - T_{\varepsilon}|| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows that $T_{\varepsilon} \to T$ in norm, and each T_{ε} is a finite-rank operator.

1.14.1 Is spectrum real?

Definition 1.14. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. A bounded linear operator $T: H \to H$ is called *self-adjoint* (or Hermitian) if

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle$$
 for all $x, y \in H$,

equivalently, $T = T^*$ where T^* is the adjoint operator of T.

Theorem 1.32. Let H be a complex Hilbert space and let $T: H \to H$ be a bounded linear operator such that $T = T^*$ (i.e., T is self-adjoint). Then

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$
 and $||T|| = r(T)$,

where $r(T) := \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ denoted the spectral radius of T.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. We will show that $\lambda I - T$ is invertible, which implies that $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. Write $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For any $x \in H$,

$$\|(\lambda I - T)x\|^2 = \langle (\lambda I - T)x, (\lambda I - T)x \rangle.$$

Since $T = T^*$, we have

$$(\lambda I - T)^* = (\overline{\lambda}I - T).$$

Thus

$$\|(\lambda I - T)x\|^2 = \langle (\overline{\lambda}I - T)(\lambda I - T)x, x \rangle.$$

Expanding gives

$$(\overline{\lambda}I - T)(\lambda I - T) = (\alpha I - T)^2 + \beta^2 I.$$

Hence

$$\|(\lambda I - T)x\|^2 = \|(\alpha I - T)x\|^2 + |\beta|^2 \|x\|^2.$$

Since $|\beta|^2 > 0$, we obtain

$$\|(\lambda I - T)x\|^2 \ge |\beta|^2 \|x\|^2,$$

which shows that $\lambda I - T$ is injective and has closed range. Its range is also dense, indeed let $y \in R(\lambda I - T)^{\perp}$, i.e.

$$\langle (\lambda I - T)x, y \rangle = 0$$
 for all $x \in H$.

This implies

$$\langle x, (\lambda I - T)^* y \rangle = 0$$
 for all $x \in H$.

Thus $(\lambda I - T)^* y = 0$. Since $T = T^*$, we have

$$(\lambda I - T)^* = \overline{\lambda}I - T$$

SO

$$(\overline{\lambda}I - T)y = 0.$$

Now compute the inner product:

$$\langle (\overline{\lambda}I - T)y, y \rangle = 0.$$

So we have:

$$\langle Ty, y \rangle = \overline{\lambda} ||y||^2.$$

Since $\langle Ty, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, we get y = 0.

As the range is also closed, it follows that $R(T - \lambda I) = H$. Therefore $T - \lambda I$ is bijective and has a bounded inverse. Thus every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ lies in the resolvent set $\rho(T)$. Consequently,

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$
.

It is always true that:

$$r(T) := \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\} \le ||T||.$$

We show the reverse inequality for self-adjoint T. The spectral theorem provides a spectral measure E (see Section 1.14.2 below) such that:

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, dE(\lambda).$$

Then for any $u \in H$, ||u|| = 1, we have

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, d\mu_u(\lambda), \text{ where } \mu_u(\cdot) := \langle E(\cdot)u, u \rangle.$$

Using this representation:

$$||Tu||^2 = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda^2 d\mu_u(\lambda) \le \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} \lambda^2.$$

Taking supremum over all unit vectors

$$||T||^2 \le \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} \lambda^2, \quad \Rightarrow \quad ||T|| \le \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} |\lambda| = r(T).$$

Hence

$$||T|| = r(T).$$

1.14.2 Comments on spectral measure

Let H be a complex Hilbert space, and let $T: H \to H$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator (i.e., $T = T^*$). The spectral theorem for such operators tells us that there is a way to write T as an infinite-dimensional diagonal operator—using an integral over its spectrum rather than a sum over eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.33 (Spectral Theorem). Let H be a complex Hilbert space and let $T \in \alpha(H, H)$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator $(T = T^*)$. Then there exists a unique projection-valued measure

$$E: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \alpha(H,H)$$

on the Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} , supported on the spectrum $\sigma(T)$, such that:

1. Spectral representation:

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, dE(\lambda)$$

where the integral is understood in the sense of the strong operator topology.

2. Functional calculus: For every bounded Borel measurable function $f: \sigma(T) \to \mathbb{C}$, there exists a bounded operator

$$f(T) = \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$$

satisfying

$$||f(T)|| \le \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(T)} |f(\lambda)|.$$

3. Scalar spectral measures: For each $u \in H$, the map

$$\mu_u(B) := \langle E(B)u, u \rangle$$

defines a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\langle f(T)u, u \rangle = \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) \, d\mu_u(\lambda)$$

for all bounded Borel measurable f.

Moreover, the spectral measure E is uniquely determined by T.

In other words, the spectral theorem states that there exists a spectral measure assigning a projection operator $E(B) \in \alpha(H, H)$ to each Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, dE(\lambda).$$

This integral is an *operator-valued integral*, and it gives a complete representation of T in terms of its spectral properties.

This is a generalization of the concept of spectral decomposition in finite-dimensional spaces.

Given $u \in H$, the scalar-valued measure

$$\mu_u(B) := \langle E(B)u, u \rangle$$

is a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . It allows us to represent quantities like:

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, d\mu_u(\lambda), \quad ||Tu||^2 = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda^2 \, d\mu_u(\lambda).$$

This turns inner products and norms into integrals — a key tool in spectral analysis.

- It lets us treat T as a "diagonal" operator, even in infinite dimensions.
- It provides a natural way to define functions of operators:

$$f(T) := \int_{\sigma(T)} f(\lambda) dE(\lambda),$$

for any bounded Borel measurable function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$.

 \bullet It reveals how different parts of the spectrum influence the behavior of T.

In \mathbb{R}^n , a symmetric matrix T can be diagonalized as:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i P_i,$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues, and P_i are projections onto the corresponding eigenspaces. In the infinite-dimensional case, the sum becomes an integral:

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, dE(\lambda),$$

where $E(\cdot)$ plays the role of continuous projections indexed by subsets of $\sigma(T)$.

This generalizes the diagonalization of symmetric matrices and is fundamental to quantum mechanics, PDEs, and functional analysis.

1.14.3 Spectral properties of self-adjoint operators

Theorem 1.34. If $T \in \alpha(H, H)$ is self-adjoint, then the residual spectrum is empty:

$$\sigma_r(T) = \emptyset.$$

Proof. Assume $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T - \lambda I$ is injective, but $R(\lambda I - T)$ is not dense in H. Then there exists $v \in \overline{R(\lambda I - T)}^{\perp} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\langle (\lambda I - T)u, v \rangle = 0$$
 for all $u \in H$.

By the definition of the adjoint and the fact that $T = T^*$, we have

$$\langle u, (\lambda I - T)v \rangle = 0$$
 for all $u \in H$.

Hence,

$$(\lambda I - T)v = 0 \implies v \in \ker(\lambda I - T).$$

This contradicts the assumption that $\lambda I - T$ is injective. Therefore, our assumption that $R(\lambda I - T)$ is not dense must be false.

Theorem 1.35 (Weyl's Criterion for the Continuous Spectrum). Let $T \in \alpha(H, H)$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space H, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma_c(T)$ (the continuous spectrum of T) if and only if:

- $T \lambda I$ is injective (i.e., $\ker(T \lambda I) = \{0\}$).
- There exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset H$ with $||u_n|| = 1$ such that

$$||(T - \lambda I)u_n|| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $\lambda \in \sigma_c(T)$. Then:

- $\lambda I T$ is injective,
- $\overline{R(\lambda I T)} = H$, but
- $R(\lambda I T) \neq H$, so $T \lambda I$ is not surjective.

Thus, $(\lambda I - T)$ is injective but does not have a bounded inverse. Hence, there exists a sequence $y_n \in R(\lambda I - T)$, with $||y_n|| \to 0$, such that the preimages $x_n = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}y_n$ satisfy $||x_n|| \ge \delta > 0$. Define $u_n := x_n/||x_n||$, then $||u_n|| = 1$, and

$$\|(\lambda I - T)u_n\| = \left\|\frac{y_n}{\|x_n\|}\right\| \to 0,$$

since $||y_n|| \to 0$. Hence, $\{u_n\}$ is a Weyl sequence for λ .

(\Leftarrow) Now suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda I - T$ is injective, and there exists $\{u_n\} \subset H$ with $||u_n|| = 1$, and

$$\|(\lambda I - T)u_n\| \to 0.$$

We show that $\lambda \in \sigma_c(T)$. Suppose for contradiction that $\lambda \notin \sigma_c(T)$. Then either:

- 1. $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, i.e., $T \lambda I$ is bijective with bounded inverse, or
- 2. $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, i.e., $T \lambda I$ is not injective.

Case (2) contradicts our assumption that $T - \lambda I$ is injective. In Case (1), if $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$ exists and is bounded, then

$$u_n = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}(T - \lambda I)u_n \to 0,$$

since $||(T - \lambda I)u_n|| \to 0$, and the inverse is bounded. But this contradicts $||u_n|| = 1$ for all n. Therefore, $\lambda \notin \rho(T)$ or $\sigma_p(T)$, so it must be in $\sigma_c(T)$.

Theorem 1.36. Let H be a Hilbert space, and let $T \in \alpha(H, H)$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator. Then the operator norm of T is given by

$$||T|| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle Tu, u \rangle|$$

and

$$||T|| = r(T) := \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

Proof. Let ||u|| = 1. Since T is self-adjoint, we have $\langle Tu, u \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, and by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

$$|\langle Tu, u \rangle| \le ||Tu|| \cdot ||u|| = ||Tu|| \le ||T||.$$

Hence

$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle Tu, u \rangle| \le \|T\|.$$

To prove the reverse inequality, we use Theorem 1.34 and Theorem 1.35. Indeed, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unit vector $u_{\varepsilon} \in H$ such that

$$|\langle Tu_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon} \rangle| > ||T|| - \varepsilon.$$

Therefore:

$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle Tu, u \rangle| \ge \|T\| - \varepsilon.$$

Since this holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we conclude:

$$\sup_{\|u\|=1}|\langle Tu,u\rangle|=\|T\|.$$

This result shows that for self-adjoint operators, the norm and spectral radius coincide, and both can be computed using the maximum absolute value of $\langle Tu, u \rangle$ over unit vectors. This reflects the fact that self-adjoint operators behave much like real symmetric matrices in finite-dimensional spaces.

Definition 1.15 (Numerical Range). Let H be a complex Hilbert space and $T \in \alpha(H, H)$. The numerical range of T is

$$W(T) := \{ \langle Tu, u \rangle : u \in H, ||u|| = 1 \}.$$

Theorem 1.37 (Toeplitz–Hausdorff). For any bounded linear operator $T \in \alpha(H, H)$ on a complex Hilbert space H, the numerical range W(T) is a convex subset of \mathbb{C} . Moreover $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{W(T)}$.

Theorem 1.38. Let H be a Hilbert space, and let $T \in \alpha(H, H)$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator. Define:

$$M:=\sup_{\|u\|=1}\langle Tu,u\rangle,\quad m:=\inf_{\|u\|=1}\langle Tu,u\rangle.$$

Then:

(a) The norm of T satisfies

$$||T|| = \max\{|m|, |M|\}.$$

(b) The spectrum $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$ lies in the interval [m, M], and both endpoints belong to the spectrum:

$$\{m,M\}\subset\sigma(T)\subset[m,M].$$

Proof. (a) Since T is self-adjoint, all values $\langle Tu, u \rangle$ with ||u|| = 1 are real. It is known that:

$$||T|| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |\langle Tu, u \rangle|.$$

Hence

$$||T|| = \max\{|M|, |m|\}.$$

(b) For self-adjoint operators, $W(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$, and W(T) is convex. Moreover, the spectrum of T is contained in the closure of the numerical range:

$$\sigma(T) \subset \overline{W(T)} = [m, M].$$

To show that $m, M \in \sigma(T)$, suppose for contradiction that $M \notin \sigma(T)$. Then the operator (T - MI) is bounded and invertible. That implies there exists $\delta > 0$ such that:

$$||(T - MI)u|| \ge \delta ||u||$$
 for all $u \in H$.

But then:

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle = \langle (MI + (T - MI))u, u \rangle = M||u||^2 + \langle (T - MI)u, u \rangle.$$

So for ||u|| = 1, we get:

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle < M - \varepsilon$$
 for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

contradicting the definition of M as the supremum. Therefore, $M \in \sigma(T)$, and a similar argument shows $m \in \sigma(T)$. Thus:

$$\sigma(T) \subset [m, M], \quad \{m, M\} \subset \sigma(T).$$

Remark 1.5. This result is fundamental in spectral theory. Part (a) gives a simple way to compute the norm of a self-adjoint operator. Part (b) says that the spectrum, which describes the *values* associated with the operator, lies within the interval of the smallest and largest expected values $\langle Tu, u \rangle$, and includes the endpoints.

1.14.4 Orthonormal basis

Definition 1.16. Let H be a Hilbert space. A sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ is called an *orthonormal basis* of H (or a *Hilbert basis* of H) if:

- (a) $\langle e_n, e_m \rangle = \delta_{mn}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b) the linear span of $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is dense in H.

Lemma 1.9. Let H be a Hilbert space and let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ be an orthonormal basis of H. Then for any $u \in H$, we have

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n,$$

and

$$||u||_H^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2.$$

Proof. Since $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H, by definition the linear span of $\{e_n\}$ is dense in H. Define the partial sums:

$$u_N := \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

Each u_N lies in the finite-dimensional subspace spanned by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_N\}$, so $u_N \in H$. Now we compute the norm of the difference:

$$||u - u_N||^2 = ||u - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n||^2.$$

Using the Pythagorean theorem (since the projection onto the subspace is orthogonal), we get:

$$||u||^2 = ||u_N||^2 + ||u - u_N||^2.$$

Hence:

$$||u - u_N||^2 = ||u||^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2.$$

This shows that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2 \le ||u||^2.$$

But since $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis (not just orthonormal), we also know that if $||u - u_N|| \to 0$, then $u_N \to u$ in norm. Therefore:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}u_N=u.$$

This proves the first identity:

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

Substituting this into the norm yields:

$$||u||^2 = \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u, e_n \rangle e_n, u \right\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle u, e_n \rangle \langle e_n, u \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle u, e_n \rangle|^2.$$

Thus, both identities are proven.

Theorem 1.39. Every separable Hilbert space possesses an orthonormal basis.

Proof. Since the Hilbert space H is separable, there exists a countable dense subset, which we denote by $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$. For each positive integer n, let F_n represent the finite-dimensional subspace of H consisting of all linear combinations of the first n vectors:

$$F_n = \operatorname{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}.$$

Define

$$F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n.$$

Since $\{v_n\}$ is dense in H, so is F. We now apply the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization procedure to construct an orthonormal set from $\{v_n\}$ that spans a dense subspace in H. Begin by choosing $e_1 \in F_1$ with $||e_1|| = 1$. Assume that we have already constructed an orthonormal set $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\} \subset F$. If F_{k+1} contains a vector not in the span of $\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$, we can find such a vector and orthonormalize it against the existing ones to produce e_{k+1} , thereby extending our orthonormal set. Continuing this process inductively, we obtain a countable orthonormal set $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ whose linear combinations are dense in H, meaning that it forms an orthonormal basis.

Theorem 1.40. Let H be a Hilbert space, and let $T: H \to H$ be a compact, self-adjoint linear operator. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of H consisting of eigenvectors of T. Moreover, all the corresponding eigenvalues are real and the only possible accumulation point of the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is zero.

Proof. Use the spectral theorem for compact operators and the fact that self-adjointness guarantees real eigenvalues and orthogonality of eigenspaces. Indeed we sonsider the following steps.

Step 1: Properties of compact self-adjoint operators. Since T is self-adjoint, the spectrum $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$. As a compact operator on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, its spectrum consists of a countable set of real eigenvalues with only possible accumulation point at zero. Moreover, every nonzero element of $\sigma(T)$ is an eigenvalue with finite multiplicity.

Step 2: Existence of a maximal eigenvalue. Consider the quantity:

$$\lambda_1 := \sup \{ |\langle Tx, x \rangle| : x \in H, \ ||x|| = 1 \}.$$

By the Riesz representation theorem and compactness of T, this supremum is attained. Thus, there exists $x_1 \in H$, $||x_1|| = 1$, such that:

$$\langle Tx_1, x_1 \rangle = \lambda_1.$$

We now show that x_1 is an eigenvector. Define the functional $\phi(x) := \langle Tx, x_1 \rangle$. Since T is self-adjoint,

$$\langle Tx_1, x \rangle = \langle x_1, Tx \rangle = \langle Tx, x_1 \rangle = \phi(x).$$

Then ϕ is continuous and linear, hence by Riesz, there exists $y \in H$ such that $\phi(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$. But then $\langle Tx_1 - y, x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in H$, so $Tx_1 = y = \lambda_1 x_1$. Hence, x_1 is an eigenvector with eigenvalue λ_1 .

Step 3: Orthogonal decomposition. Let $H_1 := \operatorname{span}\{x_1\}$, and consider H_1^{\perp} , the orthogonal complement of H_1 . Since T is self-adjoint, H_1^{\perp} is invariant under T: if $x \in H_1^{\perp}$, then for any $y \in H_1$,

$$\langle Ty, x \rangle = \langle y, Tx \rangle = 0,$$

so $Tx \in H_1^{\perp}$. Restrict T to H_1^{\perp} , denoted $T|_{H_1^{\perp}}$, which is still compact and self-adjoint. Repeating the argument, there exists a unit vector $x_2 \in H_1^{\perp}$ such that $Tx_2 = \lambda_2 x_2$ for some $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Proceed inductively: at each step, define $H_n := \operatorname{span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, and consider H_n^{\perp} , which is invariant under T, and on which T remains compact and self-adjoint. If $T|_{H_n^{\perp}} \neq 0$, then there exists a unit eigenvector $x_{n+1} \in H_n^{\perp}$ such that $Tx_{n+1} = \lambda_{n+1}x_{n+1}$.

Step 4: Completeness. This process produces an orthonormal set $\{x_n\}$ of eigenvectors of T with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$, possibly zero. Suppose the closed span of $\{x_n\}$ is a proper subspace $M \subsetneq H$. Then $M^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$, and $T|_{M^{\perp}}$ is again compact and self-adjoint. But by construction, $T|_{M^{\perp}} = 0$, since we exhausted all eigenvectors. Hence, for all $x \in M^{\perp}$, Tx = 0. So x is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 0. Thus, including such vectors, we obtain a complete orthonormal set of eigenvectors of T.

Conclusion: The set $\{x_n\}$ (including all with eigenvalue 0) forms an orthonormal basis for H, and each x_n is an eigenvector of T. Therefore, T is diagonalizable in an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors, completing the proof.

Remark 1.6. This theorem fails for general bounded self-adjoint operators that are not compact. For example, the identity operator on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is self-adjoint but has no eigenvalues.

1.15 Coercivity and Lax-Milgram theorem

This result is fundamental in the theory of weak (variational) solutions to PDEs. It ensures that, under mild conditions, variational formulations of boundary value problems have unique solutions as we shall see later.

Theorem 1.41 (Lax-Milgram). Let H be a real Hilbert space, and let $a: H \times H \to \mathbb{R}$ be a bilinear form satisfying:

• Boundedness: There exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$|a(u,v)| \le M||u||||v||$$
 for all $u, v \in H$.

• Coercivity: There exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$a(u, u) \ge \alpha ||u||^2$$
 for all $u \in H$.

Then, for every functional $f \in H^*$, there exists a unique $u \in H$ such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v)$$
 for all $v \in H$.

Proof. Since $f \in H^*$, by the Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists a unique $w \in H$ such that

$$f(v) = \langle v, w \rangle$$
 for all $v \in H$.

Define an operator $A: H \to H$ by the condition:

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = a(u, v)$$
 for all $v \in H$.

We first show A is well-defined and bounded. From the boundedness of a, for all $u \in H$:

$$||Au|| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} |a(u,v)| \le M||u||.$$

Next, we show A is coercive:

$$\langle Au, u \rangle = a(u, u) \ge \alpha ||u||^2.$$

Hence, A is bounded, linear, and coercive. These properties imply that A is an isomorphism from H onto H. Thus, for each $f \in H^*$, there exists a unique $u \in H$ such that

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = f(v)$$
 for all $v \in H$,

i.e.,

$$a(u, v) = f(v)$$
 for all $v \in H$.

Remark 1.7. This result is also true in complex Hilbert spaces provided that we replace the coercivity condition by Re $a(u, u) \ge \alpha ||u||^2$.

45

2 Sobolev Spaces and Elliptic PDEs

2.1 Sobolev Spaces

Sobolev spaces generalize the idea of differentiability by allowing us to work with functions whose derivatives may exist only in a weak (distributional) sense. They provide a natural setting for studying partial differential equations, variational problems, and modern mathematical physics.

2.2 Geometric meaning

Intuitively, a Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be thought of as the set of functions whose graphs are not too rough. The parameter p measures how much oscillation or how big the values of the function and its derivatives can be. For example

- Large p values penalize large peaks strongly, so functions are more regular.
- Smaller p allows more variation, but still controls the average size of the derivatives.

In geometric terms, $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ contains functions whose slopes are p-integrable.

2.3 Definition and Basic Properties

Definition 2.1 $(C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ or } C_0^{\infty}(\Omega))$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. We denote by $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ or by $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the set of all functions

 $C_c^\infty(\Omega) = \{\varphi: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi \text{ is infinitely differentiable and has compact support in } \Omega\} \,.$

That is:

- $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (all partial derivatives of all orders exist and are continuous);
- supp (φ) , the closure of the set where $\varphi \neq 0$, is compact and contained in Ω .

Intuitively, $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ consists of *smooth bump functions* that vanish outside some bounded region strictly inside Ω . They are used as *test functions* in distribution theory and in the definition of weak derivatives.

Example 2.1. If $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, the function

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{1-x^2}}, & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

belongs to $C_c^{\infty}(-1,1)$: it is smooth and its support is the closed interval [-1,1].

Definition 2.2 (Weak derivative). Let $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$. We say that u has a weak partial derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = g \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ if

$$\int_{\Omega} u \, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \, dx = -\int_{\Omega} g \, \varphi \, dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

In this case, g is called the weak derivative of u with respect to x_i .

Remark 2.1. This definition generalizes the classical derivative:

- If u is differentiable in the classical sense, its classical derivative coincides with the weak derivative.
- The weak derivative can exist even if u is not differentiable pointwise (e.g., functions with corners or cusps).

Example 2.2 (Absolute value). Consider u(x) = |x| on $\Omega = (-1, 1)$. Classically, u is not differentiable at x = 0. However, in the weak sense:

$$u'(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0, \\ -1, & x < 0, \end{cases}$$

and this u' satisfies the weak derivative identity

$$\int_{-1}^{1} |x| \, \varphi'(x) \, dx = -\int_{-1}^{1} \operatorname{sign}(x) \, \varphi(x) \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(-1, 1).$$

Definition 2.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open. We define the first-order Sobolev space

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \text{ exists in the weak sense and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(\Omega), \quad \forall \, 1 \leq i \leq N \right\}.$$

Remark 2.2. Functions equal almost everywhere are identified as the same element in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. When p=2, we write $H^1(\Omega)=W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. The letter H stands for Hilbert, since $H^1(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space.

Remark 2.3. If $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable a.e., then

$$u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \iff u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in L^p(\Omega).$$

Here $|\nabla u|$ is the Euclidean length of the gradient vector.

Example 2.3 (Singular power function). Let $\alpha > 0$, $\Omega = B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u(x) = |x|^{-\alpha}$. From $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ we get $0 < p\alpha < N$. Also $u \in C^1(B_1(0) \setminus \{0\})$ and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) = -\alpha \frac{x_i}{|x|^{\alpha+2}}.$$

We require $(\alpha + 1)p < N$.

$$u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \iff 1 \le p < \frac{N}{1+\alpha}.$$

Example 2.4 (Function in L^p but not in $W^{1,p}$). Let $\Omega = (0,1)$ and $u(x) = \sqrt{x}$. Then $u \in L^2(0,1)$, but $u'(x) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \notin L^2(0,1)$, so $u \notin W^{1,2}(0,1)$.

Example 2.5 (Smooth compactly supported functions). If $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $\varphi \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ for all $k \geq 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, because all derivatives are smooth and bounded.

Theorem 2.1 (Banach/Hilbert structure). For each $1 \le p \le \infty$, $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$||u||_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}, & 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess \, sup}_{\Omega} |u| + \sum_{i=1}^N \operatorname{ess \, sup}_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

When p = 2, $H^1(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^1(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \, dx.$$

2.4 Density and mollifiers

Let $\rho \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a non-negative function satisfying:

- supp $\rho \subset B(0,1)$,
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho(x) dx = 1$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, define the scaled mollifier:

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Convolution with a mollifier: For $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, define the mollification:

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) := (\rho_{\varepsilon} * u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_{\varepsilon}(x - y)u(y) \, dy.$$

Let $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then:

- 1. $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- 2. $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.
- 3. If $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then:

$$u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ and } \nabla u_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} * \nabla u.$$

4. $||u_{\varepsilon} - u||_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$

Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the space $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. That is,

$$\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \ \exists \{u_k\} \subset C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ such that } \|u_k - u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0.$$

Proof. Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The proof proceeds in two steps: Define a cut-off function $\chi_k \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that:

• $\chi_k(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le k$,

- $\chi_k(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2k$,
- $|\nabla \chi_k(x)| \leq \frac{C}{k}$.

Set $u_k := \chi_k u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with compact support.

Then $u_k \to u$ in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $k \to \infty$.

Let ρ_{ε} be a mollifier. Define:

$$u_{k,\varepsilon} := \rho_{\varepsilon} * u_k \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then:

$$||u_{k,\varepsilon} - u_k||_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Hence, the sequence $u_{k,\varepsilon} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ approximates u in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Combining the cut-off and mollification steps, we conclude that any function $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in the Sobolev norm by smooth, compactly supported functions. Thus,

$$C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$
 is dense in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

2.5 Higher-order Sobolev spaces

Definition 2.4 (4.14). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ open. The higher-order Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is

 $W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : D^\alpha u \text{ exists in the weak sense and } D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega) \ \forall \ |\alpha| \le k \},$

where α is a multi-index and

$$D^{\alpha}u = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}u}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_N^{\alpha_N}}.$$

Norm:

$$||u||_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \int_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha}u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}, & 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \operatorname{ess sup}_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha}u|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.4. For $p=2, W^{k,2}(\Omega)$ is denoted $H^k(\Omega)$ and is a Hilbert space.

Definition 2.5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. The Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is defined as the space of functions $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ whose weak derivatives up to order k also belong to $L^p(\Omega)$:

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : D^{\alpha}u \in L^p(\Omega), \text{ for all multi-indices } |\alpha| \le k\}.$$

The space $H^k(\Omega) := W^{k,2}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product:

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^k} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha} u \cdot D^{\alpha} v \, dx,$$

which induces the norm:

$$||u||_{H^k} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||D^{\alpha}u||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Example 2.6. Let u(x) = |x| on $\Omega = (-1, 1)$. Then $u \in H^1((-1, 1))$ because $u \in L^2$ and its weak derivative u' = sign(x) is also in L^2 .

2.6 Sobolev Embeddings and Poincaré inequality

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain.

Theorem 2.3 (Sobolev Embedding Theorem). The following holds:

- If kp < n, then $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for q such that $p \leq q \leq \frac{np}{n-kp}$.
- If kp = n, then $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q < \infty$.
- If kp > n, then $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{\Omega})$.

The embedding is compact if $q < \frac{np}{n-kp}$.

Theorem 2.4 (Poincaré-Wirtinger). Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Define the integral average

$$u_{\Omega} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx \qquad (u \in L^{1}(\Omega)).$$

Then there exists a constant $C_{PW} = C_{PW}(\Omega, p) > 0$ such that for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$||u-u_{\Omega}||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{PW} ||\nabla u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}.$$

2.7 Weak Solutions and Critical Points

Definition 2.6. A function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a *weak solution* of the elliptic equation $-\Delta u = f$ in Ω if:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

This corresponds to finding critical points of the energy functional:

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} f u \, dx.$$

2.8 Spectrum of the Laplace Operator

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Consider the Dirichlet Laplacian eigenvalue problem:

$$-\Delta u = \lambda u$$
 in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

We aim to prove that the spectrum consists of a discrete set of positive eigenvalues:

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \cdots \to \infty$$
,

with corresponding eigenfunctions forming an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Define the bilinear form:

$$a(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx,$$

on the Hilbert space $H_0^1(\Omega)$. The eigenvalue problem becomes: find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$a(u,v) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Define the operator $T:L^2(\Omega)\to L^2(\Omega)$ as follows: for $f\in L^2(\Omega)$, let $u\in H^1_0(\Omega)$ be the unique weak solution of

$$-\Delta u = f$$
 in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$,

and set T(f) = u.

We verify the following:

- Boundedness: T is a bounded operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $H_0^1(\Omega)$, hence into $L^2(\Omega)$.
- Self-adjointness: For $f, g \in L^2(\Omega)$, with u = T(f) and v = T(g), we have:

$$\langle T(f), g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} ug \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx = \langle f, T(g) \rangle.$$

So T is self-adjoint.

• Compactness: The inclusion $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact (Rellich-Kondrachov theorem). Since T maps bounded subsets of L^2 into bounded subsets of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, and then into L^2 , T is a compact operator on $L^2(\Omega)$.

By the spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators on Hilbert spaces, the operator T has a discrete spectrum $\{\mu_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu_k \to 0$, and the corresponding eigenfunctions $\{\phi_k\}$ form an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. Note that $m := \inf_{\|u\|=1} \langle T(u), u \rangle > 0$ and $\sigma(T) \subset [m, \infty)$.

From $T(\phi_k) = \mu_k \phi_k$, we have

$$-\Delta\phi_k = \frac{1}{\mu_k}\phi_k = \lambda_k\phi_k.$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues of the Laplacian are $\lambda_k = \frac{1}{\mu_k} \to \infty$ and they are positive.

Remark 2.5. One can show that λ_1 is simple, and by the strong maximum principle for elliptic equations, either u > 0 in Ω or u < 0 in Ω .

The Dirichlet Laplacian has a countable set of positive eigenvalues $\lambda_k > 0$ with finite multiplicities, satisfying $\lambda_k \to \infty$, and the corresponding eigenfunctions form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$. Hence, the spectrum is discrete.

2.8.1 Dirichlet eigenvalue problem on $(0, \pi)$

Consider the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem on $\Omega = (0, \pi)$:

$$\begin{cases} -u''(x) = \lambda u(x), & x \in (0, \pi), \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We seek nontrivial solutions u(x) such that $-u''(x) = \lambda u(x)$ and $u(0) = u(\pi) = 0$. Assume $u(x) = \sin(kx)$, then:

$$u''(x) = -k^2 \sin(kx) \Rightarrow -u'' = k^2 \sin(kx) = \lambda u(x) \Rightarrow \lambda = k^2$$
.

Boundary condition $u(\pi) = \sin(k\pi) = 0$ implies $k = n \in \mathbb{N}$. The eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_n = n^2, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions are:

$$\phi_n(x) = \sin(nx).$$

These form an orthogonal basis of $H_0^1(0,\pi)$. The spectrum is discrete, real, and unbounded above.

2.9 Variational Characterization of the First Eigenvalue

Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain.

Theorem 2.5. The first eigenvalue λ_1 of the Dirichlet Laplacian satisfies:

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}(u) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx}$ be the Rayleigh quotient. Minimizing $\mathcal{R}(u)$ over $H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ yields λ_1 , and the minimizer solves $-\Delta u = \lambda_1 u$.

Remark 2.6. The minimizer can be chosen positive and is unique up to a constant multiple.

In particular any norm

$$||u||_{\lambda} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } \lambda > -\lambda_1$$

is equivalent in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

2.10 From the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation to an Elliptic PDE

The nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) arises as an effective model in various physical contexts:

- Nonlinear optics: In a Kerr medium, the electric field envelope u(x,t) satisfies a paraxial wave equation that, under suitable scaling, reduces to the cubic NLS. The cubic term $\mp b|u|^2u$ models the intensity-dependent refractive index; the "-" sign corresponds to self-focusing (formation of spatial or temporal solitons), while the "+" sign describes self-defocusing.
- Bose–Einstein condensates (BECs): At ultra-low temperatures, the macroscopic wavefunction of a dilute Bose gas is governed by the Gross–Pitaevskii equation

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \psi + V_{\text{trap}}(x)\psi + g|\psi|^2 \psi,$$

where g is proportional to the s-wave scattering length. In nondimensional form, this is the cubic NLS (1). The sign of g determines whether the atomic interactions are repulsive (defocusing) or attractive (focusing). Nobel prize: The first creation of a BEC in dilute gases (Eric A. Cornell, Wolfgang Ketterle, and Carl E. Wieman) was awarded the 2001 Nobel Prize in Physics, with the Gross-Pitaevskii model playing a central theoretical role.

Nonlinear Schrödinger equation We consider the cubic NLS with real parameter b > 0:

$$i \partial_t u = -\Delta u + V(x)u \mp b |u|^2 u, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0.$$
 (1)

The focusing case corresponds to the "-" sign and the defocusing case to "+". Standing wave ansatz Let

$$u(x,t) = e^{-i\omega t} \phi(x), \quad \omega > 0.$$

Substituting into (1) yields the semilinear elliptic PDE

$$-\Delta\phi + (V(x) + \omega)\phi \mp b|\phi|^2\phi = 0.$$

$$\operatorname{sech}(x) = \frac{1}{\cosh(x)} = \frac{2}{e^x + e^{-x}}, \text{ where } \cosh(x) = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2}.$$

Typical boundary conditions

- Whole space: $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$.
- Bounded domain Ω : Dirichlet $\phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, or Neumann/periodic.

1D explicit solution (focusing case) In one spatial dimension, the solution to

$$-\phi'' + \omega\phi - b\phi^3 = 0$$

is given by the solitary wave

$$\phi(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2\omega}{b}} \operatorname{sech}(\sqrt{\omega} x).$$

2.11 Direct Method in the Calculus of Variations

Theorem 2.6. Let X be a reflexive Banach space and $K \subset X$ be nonempty, closed, and weakly sequentially closed. Suppose $J: K \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is

- coercive: $||u|| \to \infty$ implies $J(u) \to +\infty$,
- weakly lower semicontinuous (w.l.s.c.): if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X, then $J(u) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} J(u_n)$.

Then J attains its minimum on K, i.e., there exists $u^* \in K$ with $J(u^*) = \inf_{u \in K} J(u)$.

Proof. Let $m := \inf_{u \in K} J(u)$ and pick a minimizing sequence $(u_n) \subset K$ such that $J(u_n) \downarrow m$. Coercivity implies (u_n) is bounded in X. Since X is reflexive, there exists a subsequence (not relabeled) and $u^* \in X$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u^*$ in X. Because K is weakly sequentially closed, $u^* \in K$. By weak lower semicontinuity,

$$J(u^*) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} J(u_n) = m.$$

Hence $J(u^*) = m$ and u^* is a minimizer.

Common sufficient conditions for w.l.s.c. are convexity of J and continuity.

Theorem 2.7. Let X be a Banach space and let $J: X \to (-\infty, +\infty]$ be convex and continuous. Then J is weakly lower semicontinuous (w.l.s.c.), i.e.,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } X \implies J(u) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} J(u_n).$$

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ consider the sublevel set

$$A_{\alpha} := \{ u \in X : J(u) \le \alpha \}.$$

Since J is convex, each A_{α} is convex; since J is (strongly) continuous, each A_{α} is also closed in the norm topology. We now recall a classical geometric fact (Mazur's theorem): for convex subsets of a Banach space, the weak closure coincides with the norm closure; in particular, if a convex set is strongly closed, it is also weakly closed. Consequently, each A_{α} is weakly closed. By the definition of weak lower semicontinuity, a functional J is w.l.s.c. if and only if all its sublevel sets A_{α} are weakly closed. Therefore J is w.l.s.c.

Example 2.7. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded with Lipschitz boundary and $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Consider

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 \right) dx - 2\langle f, u \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}, \qquad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Then J has a unique minimizer $u^* \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover u^* is a unique weak solution to

$$-\Delta u + u = f$$
, in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. Work in the reflexive space $X = H_0^1(\Omega)$ with the norm $||u||_{H_0^1}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ (equivalent to the standard norm by Poincaré). For coercivity, by Cauchy–Schwarz and the Riesz representation of H^{-1} ,

$$J(u) \ge \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 - 2\|f\|_{H^{-1}} \|u\|_{H_0^1} \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_0^1}^2 - C\|f\|_{H^{-1}}^2,$$

so $J(u) \to +\infty$ as $||u||_{H_0^1} \to \infty$. For w.l.s.c., observe that the quadratic form $u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx$ is convex and w.l.s.c. on $H_0^1(\Omega)$, while the linear term $u \mapsto -2\langle f, u \rangle$ is weakly continuous. Hence J is w.l.s.c. By the Direct Method Theorem of Calculus of Variations, a minimizer u^* exists. Moreover, J is strictly convex (sum of a strictly convex quadratic form and a linear functional), so the minimizer is unique.

2.11.1 Dirichlet problem with a defocuscing nonlinearity

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be bounded with Lipschitz boundary, and let

$$2 (with the usual convention $2^* = \infty$ if $N \le 2$).$$

Work in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with the equivalent norm

$$||u||_{H_0^1}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Consider the energy functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |u|^2 + \frac{1}{p} |u|^p \right) dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Critical points of J solve

$$-\Delta u + \lambda u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0$$
 in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$,

i.e. the are weak solutions to $-\Delta u + \lambda u = -|u|^{p-2}u$.

Theorem 2.8. Assume 2 . Then <math>J attains its minimum on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Let $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega)$ be the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$.

- If $\lambda \geq -\lambda_1$, then the unique minimizer is $u^* \equiv 0$, hence the unique weak solution is u = 0.
- If $\lambda < -\lambda_1$, then there exists a nontrivial minimizer $u^* \not\equiv 0$. Any minimizer is a weak solution of the PDE.

Proof. Coercivity. By Sobolev embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$, we have $||u||_{L^p} \leq C||u||_{H_0^1}$. By Poincaré's inequality,

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \le \lambda_1^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Hence

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2\lambda_{1}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{L^{p}}^{p} \geq c_{1} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - c_{2},$$

for some $c_1 > 0$ (use Young's inequality if $\lambda < 0$). Thus $J(u) \to +\infty$ when $||u||_{H_0^1} \to \infty$.

Weak lower semicontinuity. The maps $u \mapsto \int |\nabla u|^2$ and $u \mapsto \int |u|^p$ are convex and continuous, hence weakly lower semicontinuous. With the compact embedding $H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^2$, the quadratic term is weakly continuous. Therefore J is weakly l.s.c.

Existence. Take a minimizing sequence, use reflexivity to extract $u_n \rightharpoonup u^*$ in H_0^1 , then weak l.s.c. yields $J(u^*) = \inf J$.

Characterization by λ_1 . We can write

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 \right) dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx.$$

By Poincaré,

$$\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2) \, dx \ge (\lambda_1 + \lambda) \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx.$$

If $\lambda \geq -\lambda_1$, then both the quadratic and the *p*-power terms are nonnegative, so $J(u) \geq 0$ with equality only at u = 0. Hence $u^* = 0$ is the unique minimizer/solution.

If $\lambda < -\lambda_1$, pick the first eigenfunction $\phi_1 > 0$ with $\|\phi_1\|_{L^2} = 1$. For small t > 0,

$$J(t\phi_1) = \frac{t^2}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda) + \frac{t^p}{p} \|\phi_1\|_{L^p}^p < 0,$$

since $\lambda_1 + \lambda < 0$ and p > 2. Thus inf J < 0 = J(0), so any minimizer satisfies $u^* \not\equiv 0$.

2.12 Minimax Methods and the Mountain Pass Theorem

Theorem 2.9 (Mountain Pass Theorem). Let X be a Banach space and $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfy:

- J(0) = 0,
- There exist $\rho, \alpha > 0$ such that $J(u) \ge \alpha$ for $||u|| = \rho$,
- There exists v with $||v|| > \rho$ such that J(v) < 0.

If J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (defined below), then J has a critical point $u \neq 0$ at level

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} E(\gamma(t)),$$

where $\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0, \ \gamma(1) = v \}.$

Definition 2.7 (Palais–Smale condition). A functional $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is said to satisfy the *Palais–Smale (PS) condition* if every sequence $(u_n) \subset X$ such that $(E(u_n))$ is bounded and $J'(u_n) \to 0$ in X^* contains a convergent subsequence in X.

Illustration: Mountain Pass Geometry.



2.12.1 Dirichlet problem with a focuscing nonlinearity

Let us consider

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |u|^2 - \frac{1}{p} |u|^p \right) dx, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Lemma 2.1 (Positivity of the mountain pass level). Let $2 and <math>\lambda > -\lambda_1$. Define

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], H^1_0(\Omega)): \ \gamma(0) = 0, \ J(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}, \qquad c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \ \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t)).$$

Then c > 0.

Proof. By Sobolev embedding and Poincaré, for small $||u||_{H_0^1}$ we have

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda)\|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{C}{p}\|u\|_{H_0^1}^p \geq \alpha > 0$$
 whenever $\|u\|_{H_0^1} = \rho$

for some $\rho, \alpha > 0$. Any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ must cross the sphere $||u||_{H_0^1} = \rho$; hence $\sup_t J(\gamma(t)) \ge \alpha$ and taking the infimum over Γ yields $c \ge \alpha > 0$.

Lemma 2.2 (Boundedness of (PS)_c sequences). Let $(u_n) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfy $J(u_n) \to c$ and $J'(u_n) \to 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$. Then (u_n) is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. Compute, for each $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\langle J'(u), u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 - |u|^p \right) dx,$$

and

$$J(u) - \frac{1}{p} \langle J'(u), u \rangle = \frac{p-2}{2p} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2 \right) dx. \tag{*}$$

Apply (*) with $u = u_n$:

$$\frac{p-2}{2p} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \lambda |u_n|^2 \right) dx = J(u_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle = c + o(1) + o(1) \|u_n\|_{H_0^1}.$$

Using Poincaré,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + \lambda |u_n|^2 \right) dx \ge (\lambda_1 + \lambda) ||u_n||_{L^2}^2,$$

with $\lambda_1 + \lambda > 0$. Hence, for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$

$$||u_n||_{H_0^1}^2 \le C_1 \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^2 + \lambda |u_n|^2) dx \le C_2 (1 + ||u_n||_{H_0^1}),$$

which implies $\sup_n \|u_n\|_{H_0^1} < \infty$.

Remark 2.7. The identity (*) is the standard trick that yields boundedness for any (PS) sequence of J and works thanks to $p \in (2, 2^*)$ and $\lambda > -\lambda_1$. Combined with Rellich–Kondrachov, this also gives (after extracting a subsequence) $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in H_0^1 and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in L^p , which is the key step in verifying the Palais-Smale condition for J.

Theorem 2.10 (Mountain pass solution for the focusing case). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be bounded with Lipschitz boundary and $2 . Assume <math>\lambda > -\lambda_1$. Then J has a critical point $u \not\equiv 0$ obtained by the Mountain Pass Theorem.

Proof. Mountain pass geometry. By Poincaré, $\int (|\nabla u|^2 + \lambda |u|^2) dx \ge (\lambda_1 + \lambda) ||u||_{L^2}^2$. Hence for small $||u||_{H_0^1}$,

$$J(u) \ge \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda) \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{L^p}^p > 0,$$

so there exist $\rho, \alpha > 0$ with $J(u) \geq \alpha$ whenever $||u||_{H_0^1} = \rho$. On the other hand, for any fixed $w \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, $J(tw) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int (|\nabla w|^2 + \lambda |w|^2) - \frac{t^p}{p} ||w||_{L^p}^p \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$, so there exists v with J(v) < 0. Thus the Mountain Pass geometry holds.

Palais-Smale condition. Let (u_n) be a sequence with $J(u_n)$ bounded and $J'(u_n) \to 0$ in H^{-1} . In view of Lemma 2.2, we deduce that (u_n) is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. By Rellich-Kondrachov, up to a subsequence $u_n \to u$ in H_0^1 and $u_n \to u$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ (since $p < 2^*$). Then the standard variational argument gives $u_n \to u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, i.e. the (PS) condition holds.

2.13 Introduction to Spectral Theory of Schrödinger Operators

Consider the operator

$$H = -\Delta + V(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where the potential $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is periodic with respect to a lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.

$$V(x + \gamma) = V(x) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

For simplicity, take $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

By the Floquet–Bloch theory, the spectrum of H is the union of disjoint intervals:

$$\sigma(H) = \bigcup_{n>1} [a_k, b_k].$$

Each interval $[a_k, b_k]$ is called an *energy band*. The spectrum is purely absolutely continuous, and *spectral gaps* may occur between consecutive bands.

Physical interpretation. In solid-state physics, V models the periodic potential of a crystal lattice. The band–gap structure explains the difference between conductors, semi-conductors, and insulators.

Spectrum of $-\Delta$ on \mathbb{R}^N

Let $-\Delta$ act on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with domain $H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then

$$\sigma(-\Delta) = \sigma_c(-\Delta) = [0, \infty).$$

In words: the spectrum is purely absolutely continuous, equal to the half–line $[0, \infty)$; there are no eigenvalues and no singular continuous spectrum.

2.14 Radial solutions in \mathbb{R}^N via variational methods

Let $N \ge 2$, $2 (with the usual convention <math>2^* = \infty$ if N = 2). Assume $V: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable, radial, and

$$V(x) \ge V_0 > 0$$
 for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Work in the radial subspace

$$H^1_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = u(|x|) \}.$$

Consider the functional

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx, \qquad u \in H^1_{\text{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Critical points of J are weak radial solutions of

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = |u|^{p-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Theorem 2.11. Under the assumptions above, J satisfies the Mountain Pass geometry and the Palais–Smale condition on $H^1_{\rm rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Consequently, there exists $u \in H^1_{\rm rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ with J'(u) = 0.

Proof. Mountain Pass geometry. For small $||u||_{H^1}$,

$$J(u) \ge \frac{1}{2} \int (|\nabla u|^2 + V_0 |u|^2) - \frac{C}{p} ||u||_{H^1}^p > 0,$$

so $\exists \rho, \alpha > 0$ with $J(u) \geq \alpha$ if $||u||_{H^1} = \rho$. Fix $w \in H^1_{\text{rad}} \setminus \{0\}$; then $J(tw) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$, so $\exists v$ with J(v) < 0.

Palais-Smale on the radial subspace. Let $(u_n) \subset H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}$ be a (PS) sequence: $J(u_n)$ bounded, $J'(u_n) \to 0$. The identity

$$J(u_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle J'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \frac{p-2}{2p} \int \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(x)|u_n|^2 \right)$$

gives boundedness of (u_n) in H^1 . By the radial compact embedding (Strauss lemma), $H^1_{\mathrm{rad}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for every $2 < q < 2^*$. Hence, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in H^1 and $u_n \to u$ in L^p , which yields J'(u) = 0 and then $u_n \to u$ in H^1 by standard arguments.

Remark 2.8.

- \bullet If V is constant, all arguments above hold.
- The restriction to H^1_{rad} restores compactness in \mathbb{R}^N ; without radial symmetry one typically uses concentration–compactness (Lions).
- At the critical exponent $p = 2^*$ the compactness fails; existence requires additional structure (e.g. potentials V with traps) or refined tools.

Lemma 2.3 (Lions). Let (u_n) be a bounded sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 2$. Assume that there exists R > 0 such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

Then $u_n \to 0$ strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $q \in (2, 2^*)$.

Theorem 2.12 (Existence via Mountain Pass for periodic V). Let $V: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} periodic and continuous. Assume that the Schrödinger operator

$$L := -\Delta + V(x)$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies

$$0 < \inf \sigma(L)$$
.

Let 2 . Then the equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = |u|^{p-2}u, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

admits a nontrivial weak solution obtained by the Mountain Pass Theorem.

For the interested reader, we refer to the relevant literature [1, 5, 11, 12] and welcome further inquiries, especially regarding the fascinating world of nonlinear phenomena associated with Maxwell and Schrödinger equations [4].

3 Problems

Exercise 3.1. The sequence space ℓ^p for $1 \le p \le \infty$ consists of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of scalars such that:

$$||x||_p = \begin{cases} (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n| & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

is finite. Each ℓ^p space is a Banach space.

Exercise 3.2. The space $L^p([a,b])$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ consists of (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}) such that the p-th power of the absolute value is integrable:

$$||f||_p = \begin{cases} \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \text{ess } \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

These spaces are Banach spaces.

Exercise 3.3. The space C([a, b]) of continuous real (or complex) functions on [a, b] equipped with the *supremum norm*

$$||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$$

is also a Banach space.

Exercise 3.4 (Non-complete normed space). Let c_{00} denote the space of sequences with only finitely many nonzero terms, equipped with the ℓ^p norm for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $(c_{00}, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a normed space, but it is not complete — its completion is ℓ^p .

Exercise 3.5. Consider the space of polynomials P([0,1]) with the sup norm. Is this a Banach space?

Remark 3.1. The space of polynomials is dense in C([0,1]), but it is not complete, hence not a Banach space.

Exercise 3.6. Let X = c, the space of convergent sequences with the sup norm. Show that X is a Banach space.

Example 3.1. Define $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ by $T(x_1, x_2, x_3, ...) = (x_1, x_2/2, x_3/3, ...)$. Prove that T is bounded and compute its norm.

Exercise 3.7. Let T be defined on $L^2([0,1])$ by $(Tf)(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$. Show that T is a bounded linear operator.

Exercise 3.8. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. For every $x_0 \in X$ there exists a continuous functional $f_0: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f_0\| = \|x_0\|$ and $f_0(x_0) = \|x_0\|^2$.

Exercise 3.9. Let c_0 be the space of all sequences converging to zero, equipped with the supremum norm. Is c_0 a closed subspace of ℓ^{∞} ? Is it a Banach space?

Exercise 3.10. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and M = span((1,1)). Define the quotient space X/M. Describe the equivalence classes and the geometry of this space.

Exercise 3.11. Let $X = \ell^2$ and let $M \subset X$ be the subspace consisting of all sequences with only the first coordinate possibly nonzero. Describe the quotient space X/M. Is it a Banach space?

Exercise 3.12. Find the dual space $(\ell^1)^*$. Prove that

$$(\ell^1)^* \cong \ell^\infty$$
.

Exercise 3.13. Define the operator $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ by

$$T((x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Is T linear? Is it continuous? Is it invertible?

Exercise 3.14. Define a Banach space $D(T) \subset C[0,1]$ such that $T:D(T) \to C[0,1]$ defined by T(f) = f' is well-defined. Is T bounded?

Exercise 3.15. Define the operator $T: L^2[0,1] \to L^2[0,1]$ by

$$T(f)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Is T linear? Is it bounded? Is it invertible?

Exercise 3.16. Let $T: C[0,1] \to C[0,1]$ be defined by

$$T(f)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Show that T is not an open map.

Exercise 3.17. Let $X = Y = L^p[0,1]$, where $1 , and let <math>T : X \to Y$ be a bounded surjective linear operator. Prove that the image $T(B_X(0,1))$ contains a ball around 0 in Y.

Exercise 3.18. Let

$$c_{00} = \{x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \#\{n : x_n \neq 0\} < \infty\}$$

be the space of finitely supported sequences, equipped with the supremum norm

$$||x||_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n|.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a linear operator $T_n : c_{00} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$T_n(x) = nx_n.$$

- (a) Show that the family $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is pointwise bounded.
- (b) Compute the operator norm $||T_n||$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Conclude that the family $\{T_n\}$ is not uniformly bounded.
- (c) Explain why this example does not contradict the Banach-Steinhaus theorem.

Exercise 3.19. Let $T: \ell^1 \to \ell^\infty$ be defined by T(x) = x. Is that T a bounded operator, does it has a closed graph?

Exercise 3.20. Let $T: D(T) \subset L^2(0,1) \to L^2(0,1)$, with $D(T) = C_c^{\infty}(0,1)$, and T(f) = f'. Determine whether T has a closed graph and whether T is continuous.

Example 3.2. In ℓ^2 , the sequence $x_n = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...)$ with 1 in the *n*-th place converges weakly to 0 but not strongly.

Exercise 3.21. Let $x_n = (1/n, 1/n, 1/n, ..., 1/n, 0, ...)$ in ℓ^2 , n times $\frac{1}{n}$. Determine whether x_n converges strongly, weakly, or both.

Exercise 3.22. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^N$ for $N \ge 1$. Prove that a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ converges weakly to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ if and only if it converges strongly.

Exercise 3.23. Let $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots) \in \ell^{\infty} = (\ell^1)^*$ be the *n*-th standard basis vector. Then $e_n \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} 0$.

Exercise 3.24. Consider the unilateral shift operator $S: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ defined by

$$S(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots).$$

- 1. Show that S is a bounded linear operator.
- 2. Show that S is Fredholm and find its index.

Exercise 3.25. We consider the standard norms in C[0,1] and $C^1[0,1]$:

$$||g||_{C[0,1]} = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |g(x)| \text{ for } g \in C[0,1],$$

$$||f||_{C^1[0,1]} = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f'(x)|$$
 for $f \in C^1[0,1]$.

Define $T: C^{1}[0,1] \to C[0,1]$ by Tf = f'.

- 1. Show that T is bounded and determine ker T.
- 2. Describe the range R(T).
- 3. Show that T is Fredholm and compute its index.

Exercise 3.26. Let $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ be defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{3}, \frac{x_3}{4}, \dots\right).$$

Determine the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ and all eigenvalues of T.

Exercise 3.27. Prove that if T has finite rank, then 0 belongs to the spectrum of T unless T is invertible.

We recall the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Arzelà–Ascoli). Let (X,d) be a compact metric space and let $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$, where C(X) is the space of continuous real-valued functions on X equipped with the sup norm

$$||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is relatively compact in C(X) (i.e., its closure is compact) if and only if:

1. **Equicontinuity:** For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \delta$,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon.$$

2. Pointwise boundedness: For every $x \in X$, the set

$$\{f(x): f \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is bounded in \mathbb{R} .

Exercise 3.28. For the operator $T: C[0,1] \to C[0,1]$, defined by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^x f(y) \, dy,$$

prove that T is compact and find all eigenvalues of T.

Exercise 3.29. Let $T: C[0,1] \to C[0,1]$ be defined by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^1 K(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

where $K \in C([0,1] \times [0,1])$. Show that T is compact and, if K(x,y) can be written as a finite sum $\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)h_i(y)$, then T has finite rank.

Exercise 3.30. Let $T: X \to X$ be a compact operator. Show that $\sigma(T)$ is either finite or countably infinite with 0 as the only possible accumulation point.

Exercise 3.31. Let $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ be given by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots),$$

i.e. the right shift operator. Prove that T is bounded but not compact, and determine its spectrum $\sigma(T)$.

Exercise 3.32. Let $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ be a diagonal operator defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_3 x_3, \dots),$$

where (λ_n) is a bounded sequence of scalars. Determine when T is compact and describe $\sigma(T)$ in that case.

Exercise 3.33. Let $H = \ell^2$, and let $M \subset H$ be the subspace defined by

$$M = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \ell^2 : x_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \ge 2\}.$$

That is, $M = \text{span}\{e_1\}$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, ...)$.

Given the vector $x = (3, 4, 0, 0, \dots) \in \ell^2$, find the orthogonal projection $P_M x$ of x onto M, and compute the distance $||x - P_M x||$.

Exercise 3.34. Let $H = L^2([0,1])$. Define the linear functional

$$\phi(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) \cdot x^2 \, dx.$$

Show that ϕ is a bounded linear functional on H, and find the unique function $g \in H$ such that

$$\phi(f) = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2}$$
 for all $f \in H$.

Exercise 3.35. Let $H = \ell^2$. Define the linear functional $\phi: H \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\phi(x) = 2x_1 - x_3 + ix_4$$
, for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$.

Show that ϕ is bounded and find the vector $y \in \ell^2$ such that

$$\phi(x) = \langle x, y \rangle_{\ell^2}$$
 for all $x \in \ell^2$.

Exercise 3.36. Let $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$ be the diagonal operator defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \left(\frac{1}{1}x_1, \frac{1}{2}x_2, \frac{1}{3}x_3, \dots\right).$$

- (a) Show that T is compact and self-adjoint.
- (b) Find the spectrum $\sigma(T)$.
- (c) Use the spectral theorem to describe an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors for T.

Exercise 3.37. Let $T: L^2([0,1]) \to L^2([0,1])$ be the integral operator defined by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^1 \min(x, y) f(y) \, dy.$$

- (a) Show that T is compact and self-adjoint.
- (b) State what the spectral theorem says about the structure of T.

Exercise 3.38. Let $H = L^2([0,1])$, and $M = \{ f \in H : \int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0 \}$. Find the orthogonal complement M^{\perp} .

Exercise 3.39. Let $H = L^2[0,1]$. Then the sequence

$$\{1\} \cup \left\{\sqrt{2}\cos(2\pi nt), \sqrt{2}\sin(2\pi nt)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is an orthonormal basis of H.

Exercise 3.40. Let $H = \ell^2$ and define the bilinear form:

$$a(u,v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n u_n v_n,$$

where $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (λ_n) is a bounded sequence. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots) \in \ell^2$, and define the linear functional:

$$f(v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n v_n.$$

- (a) Show that a(u, v) is a bounded bilinear form on ℓ^2 .
- (b) Show that a is coercive, i.e., $a(u, u) \ge \alpha ||u||^2$ for some $\alpha > 0$.
- (c) Show that f(v) is a bounded linear functional on ℓ^2 .
- (d) Use the Lax-Milgram theorem to prove that there exists a unique $u \in \ell^2$ such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v)$$
 for all $v \in \ell^2$.

Moreover, find an explicit formula for u.

Exercise 3.41. Prove that the operator $T:L^2(\Omega)\to L^2(\Omega)$ from Section 2.8 is bounded and compact.

References

- [1] M. Badiale and E. Serra, Semilinear Elliptic Equations for Beginners: Existence Results via the Variational Approach, Universitext, Springer, London, 2010.
- [2] H. Brezis, Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Universitext, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [3] J. B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 96, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [4] J. Mederski, Variational Methods for Nonlinear Maxwell Equations (lecture notes), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Summer Semester 2018. Available at: https://www.math.kit.edu/iana2/lehre/varimaxwell2018s/media/maxwell_lectures_nov_15.pdf
- [5] A. A. Pankov, *Introduction to Spectral Theory of Schrödinger Operators*, Mathematics Preprint Archive, Report No. MP-ARC-2002-449 (original lecture notes from 1999–2000; published December 2001).
- [6] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I: Functional Analysis, 2nd ed., Academic Press, San Diego, 1980.
- [7] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [8] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. III: Scattering Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [9] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [10] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, 2nd ed., International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [11] M. Struwe, Variational Methods: Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems, 4th ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [12] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol. 24, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.